# On Oracle-Efficient PAC RL with Rich Observations



Christoph Dann<sup>1</sup>, Nan Jiang<sup>2</sup>, Akshay Krishnamurthy<sup>3</sup> Alekh Agarwal<sup>3</sup>, John Langford<sup>3</sup>, Robert E. Schapire<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Carnegie Mellon University <sup>2</sup>University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign <sup>3</sup>Microsoft Research

# Exploration in RL



#### "Tabular"

[Kearns & Singh'98, Brafman & Tennenholtz'02, etc.]

# Exploration in RL





+  $\epsilon$ -greedy  $\Rightarrow$  exp. sample complexity!

#### "Tabular"

[Kearns & Singh'98, Brafman & Tennenholtz'02, etc.]

# Exploration in RL





+  $\epsilon$ -greedy  $\Rightarrow$  exp. sample complexity!

#### "Tabular"

[Kearns & Singh'98, Brafman & Tennenholtz'02, etc.] ?

#### **Function approximation**

Figure from Johnson et al'16

Algorithm	LSVEE [KAL'16]		
	Contextual dec deterministi and stocha	cision processes (CDP) w/ c hidden state dynamics stic rich observations	
Setting			
Sample complexity	<i>poly</i> (#hidden states) ✓		
Computation	Enumerate functions X		

Algorithm	LSVEE [KAL'16]		OLIVE [JKALS'17]
Setting	Contextual de deterministi and stocha	cision processes (CDP) w/ c hidden state dynamics stic rich observations	Finite for the set of the set o
Sample complexity	poly(#1	hidden states) 🗸	poly(Bellman rank) 🗸
Computation	Enumerate functions X		Enumerate functions X

Algorithm	LSVEE [KAL'16]	VALOR [this work]	OLIVE [JKALS'17]
Setting	Contextual decision processes (CDP) w/ deterministic hidden state dynamics and stochastic rich observations		Finite for the set of the set o
Sample complexity	<i>poly</i> (#hidden states) ✓		poly(Bellman rank) 🗸
Computation	Enumerate functions X	Linear program + cost- sensitive classification √	Enumerate functions X

Algorithm	LSVEE	VALOR	OLIVE
	[KAL'16]	[this work]	[JKALS'17]
Setting	<image/>		Finite for the set of the set o
Sample complexity	<i>poly</i> (#hidden states) ✓		poly(Bellman rank) 🗸
Computation	Enumerate functions	Linear program + cost-	Enumerate functions <b>X</b>
	X	sensitive classification √	<b>NP-Hard in tabular case</b>







Setting: CDP with deterministic hidden state dynamics





• Exploration: prune "equivalent" sequences





- Exploration: prune "equivalent" sequences
- Challenge: equivalence test using observations
  - Model  $V^*$  and  $\pi^*$  separately (instead of  $Q^*$ )
  - Can be written as a linear program





- Exploration: prune "equivalent" sequences
- Challenge: equivalence test using observations
  - Model  $V^*$  and  $\pi^*$  separately (instead of  $Q^*$ )
  - Can be written as a linear program
- What if we remove determinism?

#### OLIVE is NP-hard in the tabular setting



state	value
<i>s</i> 1	$v_1$
<i>S</i> 2	$v_2$
<b>S</b> 3	$v_3$

# OLIVE is NP-hard in the tabular setting

- But common oracles are efficient in tabular case
  - e.g., 0-1 loss: majority vote for each *s* separately
  - OLIVE cannot be implemented efficiently with oracles



state	value
<i>s</i> 1	$v_1$
<i>S</i> 2	$v_2$
<b>S</b> 3	$v_3$

# OLIVE is NP-hard in the tabular setting

- But common oracles are efficient in tabular case
  - e.g., 0-1 loss: majority vote for each *s* separately
  - OLIVE cannot be implemented efficiently with oracles
- Not the end of story
  - Lower bound for algorithm, not problem
  - Efficient RL in OLIVE's setting is still an open problem



state	value
<i>s</i> 1	$v_1$
<i>S</i> 2	$v_2$
<i>S</i> 3	$v_3$