



Temporal Graph Neural Tangent Kernel with Graphon-Guaranteed

Katherine Tieu*, Dongqi Fu*, Yada Zhu, Hendrik Hamann, Jingrui He

Presenter: Katherine Tieu (kt42@illinois.edu)

Github: https://github.com/kthrn22/TempGNTK



Limitation of Graph Neural Networks



- On the one hand, GNNs needs **complex neural architectures** for the powerful expressivity.
 - For example, in order to capture higher-order information of graphs, GNNs needs multiple layers of Message Passing, consisting of nonlinear aggregation and self-update modules.

 On the other hand, graph kernels enjoy the explicit formula and can be convex, leading to solid theoretical results, although their specific form is often hand-crafted and may not be powerful enough to support complicated application scenarios.



Graph Neural Tangent Kernel



GNTK [1] introduces a way to fuse Graph Neural Networks (GNNs) with Graph Kernels (GKs)

- GKs are easy to train and have provable theoretical guarantees
- GNNs has multi-layer architecture that can capture higher-order information of graphs, but they are hard to train
- GNTKs: graph kernels corresponding to infinite-width GNNs trained by gradient descent
 - Inherits advantages from both GNNs and GKs: expressive power of GNNs and easytraining of GKs
 - Establishes provable theoretical guarantees

Motivation



 However, in the real world, the graph topology and features are inevitably evolving over time, e.g., the user connections and interests in social networks.

- This temporal evolution brings new challenges to GNTK as to how the similarity of temporal graphs is measured and how the corresponding kernel matrix is derived.
- To be more specific, how can we design a temporal graph neural tangent kernel, which
 - not only has a superior representation ability than temporal graph neural networks
 [1, 2]
 - but also inherits the expression simplicity and analysis rigorousness of graph neural tangent kernels [3, 4]?



- [2] Cong et al: Do We Really Need Complicated Model Architectures For Temporal Networks? ICLR 2023
- [3] Du et al: Graph Neural Tangent Kernel: Fusing Graph Neural Networks with Graph Kernels. NeurIPS 2019

[4] Krishnagopal et al: Graph Neural Tangent Kernel: Convergence on Large Graphs. ICML 2023



Proposed Temp-G³NTK

Teal Lo

• Desired Temp-G³NTK value:

$$K(G^{(t)}, G^{'(t)}) = \sum_{v \in V^{(t)}} \sum_{v' \in V^{'(t)}} \Theta^{(L)}(G^{(t)}, G^{'(t)})_{vv}$$

- An iterative process to compute $\Theta^{(l)}$ corresponding to the *l*-th MLPs layer: 1. $\Theta^{(l)}(G^{(t)}, G^{'(t)})_{uu'} = \Theta^{(l-1)}(G^{(t)}, G^{'(t)})_{uu'} \cdot \dot{\Sigma}^{(l)}(G^{(t)}, G^{'(t)})_{uu'} + \Sigma^{(l)}(G^{(t)}, G^{'(t)})_{uu'}$ 2. $\Lambda^{(l)}(G^{(t)}, G^{'(t)})_{uu'} = \begin{pmatrix} \Sigma^{(l-1)}(G^{(t)}, G^{'(t)})_{uu'} & \Sigma^{(l-1)}(G^{(t)}, G^{(t)})_{uu} \\ \Sigma^{(l-1)}(G^{'(t)}, G^{(t)})_{u'u} & \Sigma^{(l-1)}(G^{'(t)}, G^{'(t)})_{u'u'} \end{pmatrix}$ 3. $\Sigma^{(l)}(G^{(t)}, G^{'(t)})_{uu'} = \mathbb{E}_{(a,b)\sim\mathcal{N}(0,\Lambda^{(t)}(G^{(t)}, G^{'(t)})_{uu'})}[\sigma(a) \cdot \sigma(b)] =$ $= \frac{\pi - \arccos(\Sigma^{(l-1)}(G^{(t)}, G^{'(t)})_{uu'})}{2\pi} + \frac{\sqrt{1 - (\Sigma^{(l-1)}(G^{(t)}, G^{'(t)})_{uu'})^2}}{2\pi}$
- At initialization, l = 0:

$$\Theta^{(0)}(G^{(t)}, G^{'(t)})_{uu'} = \Sigma^{(0)}(G^{(t)}, G^{'(t)})_{uu'} = \mathbf{h}_{u}(t)^{T}\mathbf{h}_{u}'(t)$$

Theory of Temp-G³NTK

A-L BAIL Lo

- Temp-G³NTK satisfies **symmetric** (Theorem 5.1) and **positive semi-definite** (Theorem 5.2).
- Generalization error of Temp-G³NTK is **upper bounded** by a **data-dependent term** (Theorem 5.3)
 - Generalization error:

$$\sum_{t=1}^{T} \mathbb{E}[\ell(f_{kernel}(G^{(t)})y) | \{G^{(1)}, \dots, G^{(t-1)}\}] - \ell(f_{kernel}(G^{(t)}), y)$$

the expected value is taken over all $G^{(t)}$ that is drawn from $\mathbb{P}_t(.|G^{(1)},...,G^{(t-1)})$. The summation shows the total generalization error of all timestamps, as we want to investigate how Temp-G³NTK operates on each timestamps.

O Data-dependent upper bound: $\mathcal{O}\left(\sup_{t} \mathbf{y}^{T} [\mathbf{K}_{train}^{(t)}]^{-1} \mathbf{y} \cdot \operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{K}_{train}^{(t)})\right)$ obtained from training graphs' information.

Under the setting of growing temporal graphs (the number of nodes grows with respect to time), Temp-G³
 NTK converges to a limit object (Theorem 5.4), which is the graphon NTK value.

Performance of Temp-G³NTK



Graph-level Classification

- Given *n* training temporal graphs and their associated label, and Temp-G³NTK returns label for a testing graph
- Baselines: (1) Graph Kernels, (2) Neural Representation Learning methods, and (3) Temporal GNNs

Метнор	INFECTIOUS	DBLP	FACEBOOK	TUMBLR
WL-SUBTREE [42] Shortest Path [5] Random Walk [46]	$\begin{array}{c} 0.600 \pm 0.044 \\ 0.670 \pm 0.075 \\ 0.670 \pm 0.073 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.520 \pm 0.068 \\ 0.560 \pm 0.049 \\ 0.530 \pm 0.058 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.650 \pm 0.075 \\ 0.560 \pm 0.086 \\ 0.590 \pm 0.093 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.570 \pm 0.121 \\ 0.580 \pm 0.143 \\ 0.580 \pm 0.112 \end{array}$
GRAPH2VEC [33] NETLSD [45] GL2VEC [6]	$\begin{array}{c} 0.565 \pm 0.081 \\ 0.625 \pm 0.061 \\ 0.545 \pm 0.051 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.539 \pm 0.031 \\ 0.558 \pm 0.035 \\ 0.562 \pm 0.030 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.538 \pm 0.028 \\ 0.535 \pm 0.011 \\ 0.538 \pm 0.031 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.547 \pm 0.071 \\ 0.552 \pm 0.046 \\ 0.558 \pm 0.080 \end{array}$
GRAPHMIXER [8] TGN [39] EvolveGCN [35]	$\begin{array}{c} 0.500 \pm 0.000 \\ 0.520 \pm 0.019 \\ 0.521 \pm 0.093 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.563 \pm 0.011 \\ 0.580 \pm 0.003 \\ 0.400 \pm 0.089 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.561 \pm 0.023 \\ 0.559 \pm 0.018 \\ 0.516 \pm 0.075 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.509 \pm 0.508 \\ 0.517 \pm 0.025 \\ 0.395 \pm 0.089 \end{array}$
TEMP-G ³ NTK (OURS)	$\textbf{0.740} \pm \textbf{0.058}$	$\textbf{0.600} \pm \textbf{0.063}$	$\textbf{0.700} \pm \textbf{0.138}$	$\textbf{0.630} \pm \textbf{0.068}$

Table 2: Comparison of Temporal Graph Classification Accuracy.

Node-level Classification

- Given temporal graphs that has node labels change w.r.t time, and Temp-G³NTK returns the label for a queried node at a queried time
- Baselines: SOTAs from Temporal Graph Learning Benchmark TGB [1]

Метнор	VALIDATION	Test
DyGFormer [49] TGN [39] DyRep [44]	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{0.408} \pm \textbf{0.006} \\ 0.395 \pm 0.002 \\ 0.394 \pm 0.001 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{0.388} \pm \textbf{0.006} \\ 0.374 \pm 0.001 \\ 0.374 \pm 0.001 \end{array}$
TEMP-G ³ NTK (OURS)	0.397 ± 0.039	0.380 ± 0.008

Table 4: NDCG Score for Node Property Prediction on the tgbn-trade Dataset.

[1] Huang et al: Temporal Graph Benchmark for Machine Learning on Temporal Graphs. NeurIPS 2023





Thanks!

Katherine Tieu*, Dongqi Fu*, Yada Zhu, Hendrik Hamann, Jingrui He

TL;DR: Getting the Temporal Graph Neural Representations without Graph Neural Networks !

Github: https://github.com/kthrn22/TempGNTK

