

#### Motivation

- Gradient sparsity arises naturally in ML
- The fundamental question of how gradient (or data) sparsity influences excess risk rates in DP learning has been scarcely studied [ZMH:2021]



[GHKKMSZ:2022]

#### Setting

- Feasible set  $X \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$  is closed, convex
- **2** Distribution D supported on Z, and dataset  $S \sim D^n$
- $f(\cdot; z)$  convex (if not, we aim at stationary points) and
- *L*-Lipschitz and/or *H*-smooth wrt  $\|\cdot\|_2$
- **4** Gradient Sparsity:  $\sup_{x,z} \|\nabla f(x,z)\|_0 \le s$

#### **Stochastic Optimization (SO)**

**Objective:**  $\min_{x \in X} F_D(x) := \mathbb{E}_{z \sim D}[f(x, z)]$ Algorithm  $\mathcal{A} : \mathbb{Z}^n \mapsto X$  is  $\alpha$ -accurate for (SO) if  $\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{A},S}[F_{\mathrm{D}}(\mathcal{A}(S))] - \min_{x \in \mathbf{Y}} F_{\mathrm{D}}(x) \leq \alpha$ 

#### $(\epsilon, \delta)$ -Differential Privacy

For neighbouring datasets S, S' $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{A}(S) \in E) \le e^{\varepsilon} \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{A}(S') \in E) + \delta$ 

# **Differentially Private Optimization with Sparse Gradients**

# Badih Ghazi<sup>1</sup>, Cristóbal Guzmán<sup>1,2</sup>, Pritish Kamath<sup>1</sup>, Ravi Kumar<sup>1</sup>, Pasin Manurangsi<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Google Research, <sup>2</sup> Pontificia Universidad Católica de Chile

#### **Our Results**

	Setting	Upper bound			
	ε-DP	$1 \wedge \sqrt{\frac{s \ln d}{\varepsilon n}} \wedge \frac{\sqrt{sd}}{\varepsilon n}$	-		
	$(\varepsilon, \delta)$ -DP	$1 \wedge \frac{(s \ln(d/s) \ln(1/\delta))^{1/4}}{\sqrt{\varepsilon n}} \wedge \frac{\sqrt{d \ln(1/\delta)}}{\varepsilon n}$	1 ^		
Table 1:Upper/lower bounds for DP $\ell_2$ -mean estimation					

Setting	Guarantee	New Upper bot (sparse)
$(\varepsilon, \delta)$ -DP	Cvx. ERM	$\frac{(s\ln(d)\ln(1/\delta))^{1/4}}{\sqrt{\varepsilon n}} \wedge 2$
	SCO	$\frac{(s\ln(d)\ln(1/\delta))^{1/4}}{\sqrt{\varepsilon n}}\wedge \mathcal{R}_{\varepsilon}$
ε-DP	Cvx. ERM	$\left(\frac{s\ln(d)}{\varepsilon n}\right)^{1/3}\wedge \mathcal{R}$
	SCO	$\left(\frac{s\ln(d)}{\varepsilon n}\right)^{1/3}\wedge \mathcal{R}_{\varepsilon}$ +
$(\varepsilon, \delta)$ -DP	Emp. Grad. Norm	$\frac{(s \ln(d/s) \ln^3(1/\delta))^{1/8}}{(\varepsilon n)^{1/4}} \wedge (\varepsilon^{1/4})^{1/8}$

Table 2:Rates for DP optimization with sparse gradients. Polylog(n) factors omitted. Above  $\mathcal{R}_{\varepsilon,\delta} = \sqrt{d \ln(1/\delta)} / [\varepsilon n]$  and  $\mathcal{R}_{\varepsilon} = d / [\varepsilon n]$ . New regimes in red.

### **Upper Bounds for Mean Estimation**

#### **Algorithm: Projection Mechanism [NTZ:2013]**



## If $\delta > 0$ , a tighter bound can be obtained by (noisy) compressed sensing. **Algorithm:** Gaussian $\ell_1$ -Recovery

**Input:**  $\bar{z}(S) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} z_i$  $m = n\varepsilon \sqrt{\frac{s\ln(d/s)}{\ln(1/\delta)}}, \ \sigma^2 = \frac{18L^2\ln(2.5/\delta)}{(n\varepsilon)^2}, \ A \sim (\mathcal{N}(0, 1))$ **Output:**  $\tilde{z} = \arg \min\{||z||_1 : Az = b\}$ 

Algorithms are nearly optimal, evidenced by lower bounds obtained by a novel block-diagonal construction whose blocks contain dense-case hard datasets.

### **Upper Bounds for DP-SO**



$$(m))^{\otimes m \times d}, b = A\overline{z}(S) + \xi$$

 $x_{\lambda}^{*}(S) = \arg\min\{\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n} f(x; z_{i}) + \frac{\lambda}{2} ||x||_{2}^{2}\}$  $\tilde{x} = x_{\lambda}^{*}(S) + \xi$  where

$$\sim \begin{cases} \mathsf{Lap}(\sigma)^{\otimes} \\ \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2) \end{cases}$$

**Output:**  $\widehat{x} = \arg \min \|x - \widetilde{x}\|_{\infty}$ 

- guarantees [LT:2019]

#### **Subsampled Bias-Reduced Gradient Estimator**

**Input:**  $S = (z_1, ..., z_n), x \in X$ Apply DP-Mean Estimation

ZMH:2021: Zhang, Mironov, Hejazinia, "Wide Network Learning with Differential Privacy" arXiv:2103.01294 GHKKMSZ:2022: Ghazi, Huang, Kamath, Kumar, Manurangsi, Sinha, Zhang. "Sparsity-preserving differentially private training of large embedding models", NeurIPS 2022

NTZ:2013: Nikolov, Talwar, Zhang. "The geometry of differential privacy: the sparse and approximate cases", STOC 2013 BG:2015: Blanchet, Glynn. "Unbiased Monte Carlo for opt. and functions of expectations via multi-level randomization", WSC 2015 WRRW:2023: Whitehouse, Ramdas, Rogers, Wu. "Fully-adaptive composition in differential privacy", ICML 2023 LT:2019: Liu, Talwar. "Private selection from private candidates", STOC 2019

#### • We introduce a novel output perturbation with $\ell_{\infty}$ -projection that is provably nearly optimal for DP-ERM/SCO in high-dimension.

Algorithm: Output Perturbation with  $\ell_{\infty}$ -Projection

**Input:** Dataset  $S = (z_1, \ldots, z_n)$ , regularization param.  $\lambda > 0$ 

<sup> $\otimes d$ </sup> with  $\sigma = \frac{2\sqrt{2sL}}{\lambda n \varepsilon} \left(\frac{2H}{\lambda} + 1\right)$  if  $\delta = 0$ <sup>2</sup>*I*) with  $\sigma^2 = \frac{8L^2 \ln(1.25/\delta)}{(\lambda n \varepsilon)^2}$  if  $\delta > 0$ 

• We introduce a bias-reduced DP-SGD method for convex and nonconvex losses, following the debiasing approach in [BG:2015] • SGD analysis depends on a randomly increasing privacy budget  $[WRRW:2023] \Rightarrow$  algorithm runs for a (random) stopping time • Bias-reduction introduces heavy-tailed stochastic oracles whose convergence can only be guaranteed with constant success probability. DP boosting approaches imply high-probability

Sample  $N \in [\log(n) - 1]$  with  $\mathbb{P}[N = k] \propto 2^{-k} =: p_k$  $B \sim \text{Unif}(\binom{n}{2N+1}); O, E \text{ equipartition of } B; \text{ and } i \sim \text{Unif}([n])$ 

 $[\nabla F_B, \nabla F_O, \nabla F_E, \nabla f(\cdot, z_i)](x) \mapsto [G_B^+(x), G_O^-(x), G_E^-(x), G_i(x)]$ **Output:**  $\mathcal{G}(x) = \frac{1}{p_N} \left[ G_B^+(x) - \frac{1}{2} (G_O^-(x) + G_E^-(x)) \right] + G_i(x)$ 

#### References