

AED: Adaptable Error Detection for Few-shot Imitation Policy



Jia-Fong Yeh Kuo-Han Hung Pang-Chi Lo Chi-Ming Chung Tsung-Han Wu Hung-Ting Su Yi-Ting Chen Winston H Hsu



Few-shot imitation (FSI) policy learns to perform tasks in novel (unseen) environments



Few-shot imitation (FSI) policy learns to perform tasks in novel (unseen) environments by observing only a few demonstrations



demonstrations

State-of-the-art FSI policies achieve promising results across various tasks



Policy Performance



However, the potential to **cause serious damage** to surrounding areas **significantly limits** their real-world applications





An **inspector** is required to **oversee the entire process** during agent deployment





Unfortunately, the requirement for **human inspectors** can be costly and is sometimes even **impossible**



Unfortunately, the requirement for **human inspectors** can be costly and is sometimes even **impossible**





Adaptable Error Detection

A new learning paradigm for identifying the erroneous **behaviors** in FSI policies

A new learning paradigm for identifying the erroneous **behaviors** in FSI policies



adaptable error detector

A new learning paradigm for identifying the **erroneous behaviors** in FSI policies

agent (w/FSI policy π_θ)





adaptable error detector

future steps

A new learning paradigm for identifying the erroneous **behaviors** in FSI policies



agent (w/ FSI policy $\pi_{ heta}$) - - 🌑

future steps

There are three unique challenges posed by our AED task:





There are three unions by our AED task:

1. Work in novel environments

There are three unique challenges posed



by our AED task:

- 1. Work in novel environments
- errors occur

There are three unique challenges posed

2. No notable changes revealing when behavior



by our AED task:

- 1. Work in novel environments
- errors occur

2-a. **minor** visual difference





failure

success

There are three unique challenges posed

2. No notable changes revealing when behavior

2-b. task misunderstanding



agent



expert



by our AED task:

- 1. Work in novel environments
- errors occur

2-a. **minor** visual difference





failure

success

3. Online Detection

There are three unique challenges posed

2. No notable changes revealing when behavior

2-b. task misunderstanding



agent



expert













- a few demonstrations
- successful agent rollouts
- failed agent rollouts





- a few demonstrations
- successful agent rollouts
- failed agent rollouts





- a few demonstrations
- successful agent rollouts
- failed agent rollouts



• a few demonstrations

Within this pipeline, only a few demonstrations and the current rollout are available during agent deployment



adaptable error detector



current rollout



demonstrations

Question: Does the **policy itself** know that it is **deviating** from the **intent of the demonstration**?





We propose Pattern Observer (PrObe), a system for detecting erroneous behaviors by learning discernible patterns within the features extracted by policies, rather than relying on an independent encoder to learn from current observations



Get the features extracted from the policy



Assess the history embedding's importance scores as patterns



Pattern extractor is a learnable gating model (For first challenge)



Pattern flows model the temporal information (For third challenge)



Apply the consistency comparison between pattern flow and the task-embeddings (For second challenge)



Output the final error prediction



AED benchmark

- Task
 - Seven FSI tasks (six indoor, one industrial)
 - 322 base and 153 novel environments
 - Attributes:
 - Multi-stage
 - **Distracting objects**
 - Multiple light sources and soft shadow
 - Gravity and friction



start

Press Button













end

Organize Table

end

Move Glass Cup

start

Back to Box

start



end

indoor scenes

Factory Packing

industrial scene

AED benchmark

- Evaluation Metrics
 - AUROC
 - AUPRC
- FSI policies
 - NaiveDC (CoRL'18)
 - SCAN (AAAI'22)
 - DCT (ICML'21)
- Error Detection Baselines
 - SVDDED (one-class SVM, ICML'18)
 - TaskEmbED (metric learning, CoRL'18) 0
 - LSTMED (classical RNN) 0
 - DCTED (transformer, ICML'21)



start



start













end

Organize Table

end Press Button

Move Glass Cup

start

Back to Box

start



end

indoor scenes

Factory Packing



industrial scene

Main Results



Timing Accuracy Experiments







Pilot Study on Error Correction



- Conducted on the Press Button task
- The correction policy will move the robotic arm to a safe pose
- Only the case collaborated with our PrObe achieves better results

o a safe pose es better results

Summary & Takeaway

- We introduce AED, a new task for detecting erroneous behaviors in FSI policies
- We develop an associated AED benchmark to provide a unified evaluation platform
- We propose PrObe, an AED method that detects erroneous behaviors by extracting patterns in the policy's features
- Our PrObe effectively addresses the AED task and outperforms the compared baselines across various tasks and policies
- detects erroneous 's features sk and outperforms s and policies

