

Overfitting Behaviour of Gaussian Kernel Ridgeless Regression: Varying Bandwidth or Dimensionality

Marko Medvedev¹, Gal Vardi², Nathan Srebro³

¹The University of Chicago

²Weizmann Institute of Science $3TTIC$

NeurIPS 2024

Introduction

We study the overfitting behavior of Kernel Ridge(less) Regression (KRR) with Gaussian Kernel: the behavior of the **limiting test error** when training on noisy data as the number of samples tends to infinity by insisting on interpolation

(Simon et al. 2021) Illustration for three types of overfitting.

• When the input dimension and bandwidth are fixed, the overfitting behavior is

- known to be "catastrophic"
	- This is not how Gaussian KRR is typically used in practice
	- In fixed dimension, the bandwidth τ_m is tuned, that is decreased, when sample size increases
- We also study the behavior when input dimension increases with sample size
	- Previous studies considered polynomial increasing dimension (i.e. dimension \propto sample size^a, for $0 \leq a \leq 1$) but not subpolynomial scaling α sample size^{*a*}, for $0 \le a \le 1$

Introduction

• We provide a more comprehensive picture of overfitting with Gaussian KRR by studying **the overfitting behavior with varying bandwidth or arbitrarily varying**

- **dimension**
	- For fixed dimension, we show that even with varying bandwidth, the interpolation learning is never consistent and generally not better than the null predictor
	- For increasing dimension, we show the first example of subpolynomially scaling dimension that achieves benign overfitting for (Gaussian) KRR.
	- Additionally, we show that KRR with a class of dot-product kernels on the sphere (including the Gaussian kernel) is inconsistent when the dimension scales logarithmically with sample size.

Contribution

- \times $\mathcal{Y} \subset \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}$ ̂
- of the minimum norm interpolating solution . We will focus on the Gaussian kernel
	- . We use taxonomy of benign, tempered, and
		-

Let \mathscr{D} be an unknown distribution over $\mathscr{X}\times \mathscr{Y}\subset \mathbb{R}^d\times \mathbb{R}$ and let $\{(x_i, y_i)\}_{i=1}^m \sim \mathcal{D}^m$ be a dataset consisting of *m* samples. We want to understand the limiting behavior $\lim_{m\to\infty} R(f_0)$ of the test error catastrophic overfitting from (Mallinar et al. 2022), which indicates whether $\lim_{n\rightarrow\infty}R(f_0)$ is the Bayes (optimal) error, a non-optimal but constant error, or infinity. $\sum_{i=1}^{m}$ *m*
i=1 ∼ *^m m m*→∞ $R(f) = \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{D}}(f - f^*)$ 2 ̂ $f_0 = \text{argmin}_{\hat{R}(f) = 0; f \in \mathcal{H}_K}$ $\|f\|_K^2$ *K* $K(x, t) = \exp \left(-\frac{||x - t||^2}{\tau_m^2}\right)$ *m*→∞ ̂

Setup

Under this assumption, the eigenframework gives a closed form of the test risk in terms of kernel eigenstructure.

Given a positive semi-definite kernel function $K: \mathscr{X} \times \mathscr{X} \to \mathbb{R}$, we can decompose it as $K(x_1, x_2) = \sum \lambda_k \phi_k(x_1) \phi_k(x_2)$, where λ_k and ϕ_k are the ∞ ∑ *k*=1

When sampling $(x,\,\cdot\,)\thicksim\mathscr{D}$, we have that the Gaussian universality holds for the **eigenfunctions** ϕ in the sense that the expected risk is unchanged if we

- $\lambda_k \boldsymbol{\phi}_k(\boldsymbol{x}_1) \boldsymbol{\phi}_k(\boldsymbol{x}_2)$, where λ_k and $\boldsymbol{\phi}_k$
- eigenvalues and eigenfunctions of the integral operator associated to K_{\cdot}

Assumption (Gaussian design ansatz)

replace ϕ with ϕ , where ϕ is Gaussian with appropriate parameters, i.e. $\phi \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \text{diag}\{\lambda_i\}).$ $\boldsymbol{\tilde{b}}$ *ϕ* \widetilde{b} \widetilde{b} $\sim \mathcal{N}(0, \text{diag}\{\lambda_i\})$

. Then the *predicted risk* of f_0 is given ̂

Closed form of the test risk
We can write the target function in the basis of
$$
\{\phi_k\}
$$
 from
 $K(x_1, x_2) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda_k \phi_k(x_1) \phi_k(x_2)$, $f^*(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \beta_i \phi_i(x)$. Let κ_{δ} be the
regularization, i.e. the solution to $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_i^{i=1}}{\lambda_i + \kappa_{\delta}} + \frac{\delta}{\kappa_{\delta}} = m$, and let
 $\mathcal{L}_{i,\delta} = \frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_i + \kappa_{\delta}}$ and $\mathcal{E}_{\delta} = \frac{\overline{m} - \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{L}_{i,\delta}^2}{m - \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{L}_{i,\delta}^2}$. Then the predicted ri
by
 $\tilde{R}(\hat{f}_0) = \mathcal{E}_0 \left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (1 - \mathcal{L}_{i,0})^2 \beta_i^2 + \sigma^2 \right)$

, $f^*(x) = \sum \beta_i \phi_i(x)$. Let κ_{δ} be the *effective*

Formally we will prove results about $R(f_\delta)$ but as ample empirical evidence (*fδ*) ̂

suggests, treating $R(f_\delta) \approx R(f_\delta)$ is sufficient for understanding the behavior of KRR. $\boldsymbol{\widetilde{\mathsf{R}}}$ $\boldsymbol{\widetilde{\mathsf{R}}}$ $(f_{\delta}) \approx R(f_{\delta})$ ̂ ̂

predicted risk of f_s is given ∞ ∑ *i*=1 *λi* λ_i + κ_{δ} + *δ κδ* $=$ $m, \mathscr{L}_{i,\delta} =$ *λi* λ_i + κ_{δ} *fδ* **Closed form of the test risk**

R $\boldsymbol{\widetilde{\mathsf{R}}}$ $(f_{\delta}) = \mathcal{E}_{\delta}$ ̂

we argue that it is almost always worse than the null predictor. $f_{\rm 0}$

We show that based on how the bandwidth τ_m changes, the minimum norm We show that based on how the bandwidth τ_m changes, the minimum norm
interpolating solution \hat{f}_0 exhibits either tempered or catastrophic overfitting, and

Fixed dimension: Gaussian Kernel with varying bandwidth

We will assume that the source distribution is uniform on a d dimensional sphere, i.e. $x \sim$ Unif (\mathbb{S}^{a-1}) . We also assume that the marginal \mathscr{Y} distribution is given by a target function $f^*\in L_{\infty}$ (S^{a-1}) and noise ξ with mean zero and variance $\sigma^2 > 0$ as $y \sim f^*(x) + \xi$. $x \sim$ Unif $({\mathbb S}^{d-1})$ $f^* \in L_{\mathscr{D}_\gamma}(\mathbb{S}^{d-1})$ and noise ξ $\sigma^2 > 0$ as $y \sim f^*(x) + \xi$

The following bounds hold for the predicted risk $R(f_0)$ of the minimum norm interpolating solution of Gaussian KRR: $\boldsymbol{\widetilde{\mathsf{R}}}$ (f_0) ̂

> $\boldsymbol{\widetilde{\mathsf{R}}}$ $(f_0) > R$ ̂ $\boldsymbol{\widetilde{\mathsf{R}}}$ (0)

1. If
$$
\tau_m = o(m^{-\frac{1}{d-1}})
$$
, then $\tilde{R}(0) \le \liminf_{m \to \infty} \tilde{R}(\hat{f}_0) \le \limsup_{m \to \infty} \tilde{R}(\hat{f}_0) < \infty$. More precisely, if $\tau_m \le m^{-\frac{1}{d-1}} t(m)$ where $t(m) \to 0$ as $m \to \infty$, then there is a scalar c_d that depends on dimension and m_0 that depends on $t(m)$ such that for all $m > m_0$ we have $\tilde{R}(\hat{f}_0) > \sigma^2 + (1 - c_d t(m)^{\frac{d-1}{2}}) ||f^*||^2$.

- \hat{f}_0) < ∞. Moreover, suppose that $C_1 m^{-\frac{1}{d-1}} \leq \tau_m \leq C_2 m^{-\frac{1}{d-1}}$ *d* − 1
- for some constants C_1 and C_2 , then there exist $\eta, \mu > 0$ that depend only on $d, C_1,$ and C_2 , such C_1 that for all m we have $R(f_0) > \mu ||f^*||^2 + (1 + \eta)\sigma^2$. Consequently, $R(f_0) > R(0)$ as long as f_0) > μ $||f^*||^2 + (1 + \eta)\sigma^2$. Consequently, \tilde{R} $(f_0) > R$ ̂ $\boldsymbol{\widetilde{\mathsf{R}}}$ (0)

2. If
$$
\tau_m = \omega(m^{-\frac{1}{d-1}})
$$
, then $\lim_{m \to \infty} \tilde{R}(\hat{f}_0) = \infty$, so for large *m* we have $\tilde{R}(\hat{f}_0) > \tilde{R}(0)$.

3. If
$$
\tau_m = \Theta(m^{-\frac{1}{d-1}})
$$
, then $\limsup_{m \to \infty} R(\hat{f}_0) < \infty$. Moreover, suppose that
for some constants C_1 and C_2 , then there exist $\eta, \mu > 0$ that depend c
that for all *m* we have $\tilde{R}(\hat{f}_0) > \mu ||f^*||^2 + (1 + \eta)\sigma^2$. Consequently, $\tilde{R}(\sigma^2) > \frac{1 - \mu}{\eta} ||f^*||^2$.

nly on the

Theorem (Overfitting behavior of Gaussian KRR in fixed dimension)

Empirical validation (Overfitting behavior of Gaussian KRR in fixed dimension)

- $\alpha \thicksim$ Unif (\mathbb{S}^{d-1}) , $f^*=10$, dimensions $d=6{,}4{,}6,$ and noise level $\; \sigma^2=1{,}10{,}1000$
- respectively. We compare mean test error (blue) with noise level (red) and null predictor error

(yellow). We also plot test errors for each of the runs (light blue).

KRR with $x \sim$ Unif (\mathbb{S}^{d-1}) ,

We show a generic upper and lower bound on the test risk of KRR in increasing dimension, for any scaling of the dimension and sample size. We use a few assumptions:

. The sum of eigenvalues is bounded as

Consider learning a sequence of distributions $\mathscr{D}^{(d)}$ over $\mathscr{X} \times \mathscr{Y} = \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}$ given by $y \thicksim f_d^*(x) + \xi_d$ using a sequence of kernels $K^{(d)}$ where ξ_d is an independent noise with y mean 0 and variance $\sigma^2 > 0$. We assume that the projections of f_d^* onto the eigenfunction $\frac{d}{dt}(x) + \xi_d$ using a sequence of kernels $K^{(d)}$ where ξ_d *d* **Increasing dimension**

of $\phi_k^{(d)}$ of the kernels $K^{(d)}$ are uniformly bounded.

- The eigenvalues are not too small, or
- The eigenvalues don't decay too quickly These hold for the Gaussian kernel and other dot-product kernels on the sphere.

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \lambda_i \le A.
$$

are any sample size and dimension, the predicted test risk of minimum norm interpolating $i=1$ $\widetilde{\bm{l}}$, k is the k-th unique eigenvalue and m and d

 $||\beta||_{\infty} \leq B$ and $S_d \leq N_l$ for some $l \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, if λ_k is the k-th unique eigenvalue and solution satisfies

$$
N_k = N(1) + \dots + N(k)
$$
. Let $k_m = \max\{k \in \mathbb{N} | N_k < m\}$, $L_m = N_{k_m}$, and $U_m = N_{k_m+1}$.
Assume that the target function has at most S_d nonzero coefficients $f_d^* = \sum_{i=1}^{s_d} \beta_i^{(d)} \phi_i^{(d)}$ with

$$
\tilde{R}(\hat{f}_0) \le \left(1 - \frac{L_m}{m}\right)^{-1} \left(1 - \frac{m}{U_m}\right)^{-1} \sigma^2 + B^2 \left(1 - \frac{L_m}{m}\right)^{-1} \left(1 - \frac{m}{U_m}\right)^{-1} \frac{A^2}{m^2} \left(\sum_{i=1}^l N(i) \right)^{-1} \frac{A^2}{m^2} \left(1 - \frac{L_m}{m}\right)^{-1} \frac{A^2}{m^2} \left(1 - \frac{L_m}{m}\right
$$

Theorem (Upper bound for increasing dimension)

Let $N(k)$ be the multiplicity of k-th eigenvalue corresponding to K and let

Assume that the target function has at most ζ

Note that these conditions hold for Gaussian kernel and dot-product kernels on the sphere.

Theorem increase the property of $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ **dimension)**

e that there is a constant

k of KRR, it holds

If additionally the eigenvalues of
$$
K^{(d)}
$$
 are not too small, in the sense $b > 0$ such max $\left(\frac{1}{\tilde{\lambda}_i}\right) < \frac{m - L_m}{b}$, then for the predicted test risk $\tilde{R}(\hat{f}_0) > \left(1 - \left(\frac{b}{b+1}\right)^2 \frac{L_m}{m}\right)^{-1} \sigma^2$.

$$
\max_{i\leq k_m}\left(\frac{1}{\tilde{\lambda}_i}\right)<\frac{m-L_m}{b}\text{ for some }b>
$$

logarithmically in sample size $m, d = \log_2(m)$ (i.e. $m = 2^d$). Then, then the minimum norm interpolant cannot exhibit benign overfitting, i.e. there exist an absolute constant $\eta > 0$ such that for all $m, \ d$ $m, d = \log_2(m)$ *(i.e.* $m = 2^d$

$$
\tilde{R}(\hat{f}_0) > (1 + \eta)\sigma^2.
$$

Corollary (Inconsistency with dot-product kernel in logarithmically scaling

-
- for some $b > 0$. Let the dimension d grow

dimension)

Let $K^{(a)}$ be a sequence of dot-product kernels on \mathbb{S}^{a-1} that satisfy that $K^{(d)}$ be a sequence of dot-product kernels on \mathbb{S}^{d-1}

Let K be the Gaussian kernel on the sphere \mathbb{S}^{d-1} with a fixed bandwidth, and take a sequence of dimensions d and sample sizes m that scale as $d = \exp\left(\sqrt{\log m}\,\right)$ (in particular, we take $l \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $d = 2^{2^l}$ and $m = 2^{2^{2l}}$ with $l = 1,2,3...$). Consider learning a sequence of target functions f_d^* that have uniformly bounded projections to each eigendirection with at $S_d \leq m^{\frac{1}{4}}$ of them nonzero. Then, we have that the minimum norm interpolating solution achieves the Bayes error in the limit $(m,d) \rightarrow \infty.$ In particular, for $d\geq 4$ and $m\geq 16$ we have *d* 1 4

This establishes the first case of sub-polynomially scaling dimension with benign overfitting using the Gaussian kernel.

$$
\tilde{R}(\hat{f}_0) \le \left(1 - \frac{1}{\log m}\right)^{-1} \left(1 - \exp\left(-0.89\sqrt{\log m}\right)\right)^{-1} \sigma^2 + 2B^2 \frac{1}{m}.
$$

Corollary (Benign overfitting with Gaussian kernel and subpolynomial dimension)

We studied the overfitting behavior of Gaussian KRR with varying bandwidth or

dimension.

- For fixed dimension, we show that even with varying bandwidth, the interpolation learning is never consistent and generally not better than the null predictor
- For increasing dimension, we show the first example of subpolynomially scaling dimension that achieves benign overfitting for (Gaussian) KRR.
- Additionally, we show that KRR with a class of dot-product kernels on the sphere (including the Gaussian kernel) is inconsistent when the dimension scales logarithmically with sample size.

Summary