Provable Benefit of Cutout and CutMix for Feature Learning

Junsoo Oh and Chulhee Yun KAIST AI





Cutout and CutMix





(cat, dog) = (0, 1)Cutout

(cat, dog) = (0, 1)Original



(cat, dog) = (0.4, 0.6)CutMix

[DeVries and Taylor (2017); Yun et al. (2019)]



We investigate the benefit of Cutout and CutMix for learning features from data, and show ERM < Cutout < CutMix in "extracting" rare features

TL;DR

Characteristics of Images



Label-dependent feature e.g. Cat's face

Label-independent noise e.g. background

Training Data



Test Data



Data Distribution

We now define our feature-noise data distribution $(\mathbf{X}, y) \sim \mathscr{D}$.

Label $y \in \{\pm 1\}$ is sampled uniformly at random, and data point $\mathbf{X} = (\mathbf{x}^{(1)}, \dots, \mathbf{x}^{(P)})$ consists of P "patches" of three different kinds:

 $\mathbf{X} \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times P}$ $x^{(1)} x^{(2)} x^{(3)} x^{(4)} x^{(5)} \cdots x^{(P)}$

One **Feature** Patch

One **Dominant Noise** Patch

P − 2 Background Noise Patches

Data Distribution

Feature Patch. For each given label $y \in \{\pm 1\}$, there are K feature vectors $\{\mathbf{v}_{v,k}\}_{k \in [K]}$ which occur with conditional probabilities $\{\rho_k\}_{k \in [K]}$.

There are three kinds of features, with different levels of **rarity** (small ρ_k means rare) **Common** $\mathscr{K}_C \subset [K]$, **Rare** $\mathscr{K}_R \subset [K]$, and **Extremely Rare** $\mathscr{K}_E \subset [K]$.

Given the choice of y, choose v from $\{v_{y,k}\}_{k \in [K]}$ with probability $\{\rho_k\}_{k \in [K]}$ and position $p^* \in [P]$ uniformly at random, set $\mathbf{x}^{(p^*)} = \mathbf{v}$.

Here, $\{\mathbf{v}_{s,k}\}_{s \in \{\pm 1\}, k \in [K]}$ is orthonormal, $\rho_1 \ge \rho_2 \ge \ldots \ge \rho_K$, a



nd
$$\sum_{k=1}^{K} \rho_k = 1$$
.

Data Distribution

Dominant Noise Patch. Sample patch index $\tilde{p} \neq p^*$. Set $\mathbf{x}^{(\tilde{p})} = \alpha \mathbf{u} + \xi^{(\tilde{p})},$ where $\alpha \mathbf{u}$ is "feature noise" and $\xi^{(\tilde{p})} \sim N(\mathbf{0}, \sigma_d^2 \Lambda)$.

The feature noise is drawn $\mathbf{u} \sim \text{Unif}\{\mathbf{v}_{+1,1}, \mathbf{v}_{-1,1}\}$ to model "confusing" features.

independent and identically distributed Gaussian noise $\mathbf{x}^{(p)} = \xi^{(p)} \sim N(\mathbf{0}, \sigma_{h}^{2} \mathbf{\Lambda}).$

Here,
$$\Lambda = \mathbf{I} - \sum \mathbf{v}_{s,k} \mathbf{v}_{s,k}^{\top}$$
 and $\sigma_{\mathrm{d}} \gg \sigma_{\mathrm{b}}$.



Background Noise Patch. The remaining P - 2 patches $p \in [P] \setminus \{p^*, \tilde{p}\}$ are filled with

Network Architecture

- We define **2-Layer CNN** $f_{\mathbf{W}} : \mathbb{R}^{d \times P} \to \mathbb{R}$, parameterized by $\mathbf{W} = {\mathbf{w}_1, \mathbf{w}_{-1}} \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times 2}$.
- For input $\mathbf{X} = (\mathbf{x}^{(1)}, \dots, \mathbf{x}^{(P)}) \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times P}$, we define

$$f_{\mathbf{W}}(\mathbf{X}) = \sum_{p \in [P]} \phi\left(\left\langle \mathbf{w}_{1}, \mathbf{x}^{(p)}\right\rangle\right) - \sum_{p \in [P]} \phi\left(\left\langle \mathbf{w}_{1}, \mathbf{w}^{(p)}\right\rangle\right)$$

If $f_{\mathbf{W}}(\mathbf{X}) \ge 0$, predict y = +1, and vice versa.

The activation function ϕ is a smoothed leaky ReLU activation.



Training Procedure 1: ERM

Training Data: $\{\mathbf{X}_i, y_i\}_{i \in [n]} \stackrel{1.1.d}{\sim} \mathscr{D}$

We define **ERM loss** as

where $\ell(\cdot)$ is the logistic loss $\ell(z) = \log(1 + \exp(-z))$. We consider GD on ERM loss $\mathscr{L}_{\text{ERM}}(\mathbf{W})$ with learning rate η .

 $\mathscr{L}_{\text{ERM}}(\mathbf{W}) := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathscr{L}(y_i f_{\mathbf{W}}(\mathbf{X}_i)),$

Training Procedure 2: Cutout Augmented Data: For each $i \in [n]$ and $\mathscr{C} \in \begin{pmatrix} [P] \\ C \end{pmatrix}$ $\mathbf{X}_{i,\mathscr{C}} = (\mathbf{x}_{i,\mathscr{C}}^{(1)}, \dots, \mathbf{x}_{i,\mathscr{C}}^{(P)}) \quad \text{where} \quad \mathbf{x}_{i,\mathscr{C}}^{(p)} = \begin{cases} \mathbf{x}_i^{(p)} & \text{if } p \notin \mathscr{C} \\ \mathbf{0} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}.$



We define **Cutout loss** as

We consider GD on Cutout loss $\mathscr{L}_{\text{Cutout}}(\mathbf{W})$ with learning rate η .

We fix $1 \le C < P/2$. $\mathscr{D}_{\mathscr{C}}$ is a uniform distribution on $\binom{\lfloor P \rfloor}{C}$.

 $\mathscr{L}_{\text{Cutout}}(\mathbf{W}) := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i \in [n]} \mathbb{E}_{\mathscr{C} \sim \mathscr{D}_{\mathscr{C}}} \mathscr{L}(y_i f_{\mathbf{W}}(\mathbf{X}_{i,\mathscr{C}})).$

Training Procedure 3: CutMix

Augmented Data: For each $i, j \in [n]$ and $\mathcal{S} \subset [P]$.

$$\mathbf{X}_{i,j,\mathcal{S}} = (\mathbf{x}_{i,j,\mathcal{S}}^{(1)}, \dots, \mathbf{x}_{i,j,\mathcal{S}}^{(P)})$$

We define **CutMix loss** as

$$\mathscr{L}_{\text{CutMix}}(\mathbf{W}) := \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{i,j \in [n]} \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{S} \sim \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{S}}} \left[\frac{|\mathcal{S}|}{P} \mathscr{E}(y_i f_{\mathbf{W}}(\mathbf{X}_{i,j,\mathcal{S}})) + \left(1 - \frac{|\mathcal{S}|}{P}\right) \mathscr{E}(y_j f_{\mathbf{W}}(\mathbf{X}_{i,j,\mathcal{S}})) \right]$$

We consider GD on CutMix loss $\mathscr{L}_{CutMix}(W)$ with learning rate η .

where

$$\mathbf{x}_{i,j,\mathcal{S}}^{(p)} = \begin{cases} \mathbf{x}_i^{(p)} & \text{if } p \in \mathcal{S} \\ \mathbf{x}_j^{(p)} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

 $\mathscr{D}_{\mathscr{S}}$ is a distribution such that: 1. uniformly choose size $s \in \{0, 1, ..., P\}$ and 2. uniformly choose \mathscr{S} from $\binom{\lfloor P \rfloor}{\varsigma}$.

Main Results - ERM

Theorem 3.1 (ERM Training) Let $\mathbf{W}^{(t)}$ be iterates of ERM training. Then with high probability, there exists T_{ERM} such that any $T \in [T_{\text{ERM}}, T^*]$ satisfies the following:

- 1. (Perfectly fits training set): For all
- 2. (Random guess on new data with rare and extremely rare features): $> 0] \approx 1 - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k \in \mathcal{K}_R \cup \mathcal{K}_E} \rho_k$

$$\mathbb{P}_{(\mathbf{X},y)\sim \mathcal{D}}[yf_{\mathbf{W}^{(T)}}(\mathbf{X})]$$

Here, T^* is any large enough (polynomial in d) admissible training iterations

$$i \in [n], y_i f_{\mathbf{W}^{(T)}}(\mathbf{X}_i) > 0.$$

Main Results - Cutout

Theorem 3.2 (Cutout Training) Let $\mathbf{W}^{(t)}$ be iterates of Cutout training. Then with high probability, there exists T_{Cutout} such that any $T \in [T_{\text{Cutout}}, T^*]$ satisfies the following:

- 1. (Perfectly fits augmented data): F
- 2. (Perfectly fits original training data): For all $i \in [n]$, $y_i f_{\mathbf{W}^{(T)}}(\mathbf{X}_i) > 0$.
- 3. (Random guess on new data with extremely rare features):

$$\mathbb{P}_{(\mathbf{X},y)\sim \mathcal{D}}[yf_{\mathbf{W}^{(T)}}(\mathbf{X}) > 0] \approx 1 - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k \in \mathcal{K}_E} \rho_k$$

For all
$$i \in [n]$$
 and $\mathscr{C} \in {\binom{[P]}{C}}$, $y_i f_{\mathbf{W}^{(t)}}(\mathbf{X}_{i,\mathscr{C}}) > 0$.

Main Results - CutMix

Theorem 3.3 (CutMix Training) Let $\mathbf{W}^{(t)}$ be iterates of CutMix training. Then with high probability, there exists some $T_{\text{CutMix}} \in [0, T^*]$ that satisfies the following:

- 1. (Achieves a Near Stationary Poin
- 2. (Perfectly fits original training data): For all $i \in [n]$, $y_i f_{\mathbf{W}^{(T)}}(\mathbf{X}_i) > 0$.
- 3. (Almost perfectly classifies test data): $\mathbb{P}_{(\mathbf{X},y)\sim \mathcal{D}}[yf_{\mathbf{W}^{(T)}}(\mathbf{X}) > 0] \approx 1.$

t):
$$\left\| \nabla_{\mathbf{W}} \mathscr{L}_{\text{CutMix}} \left(\mathbf{W}^{(T_{\text{CutMix}})} \right) \right\| \approx 0$$

Main Results - Summary

