# The Power of Extrapolation in Federated Learning

#### Hanmin Li, Kirill Acharya, Peter Richtárik

#### King Abdullah University of Science and Technology

October 16, 2024



King Abdullah University of Science and Technology



▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQで

## Introduction

- Federated learning (FL) is a distributed training approach for machine learning models, where multiple clients collaborate under the guidance of a central server to optimize a loss function [1, 3].
- In this paper, We consider the following federated optimization problem,

$$\min_{x\in\mathbb{R}^d}\left\{f(x):=\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^n f_i(x)\right\},\qquad(1)$$

where each  $f_i : \mathbb{R}^d \mapsto \mathbb{R}$  is a differentiable function, *n* is the number of clients.

## Introduction

- The most commonly used algorithm to address this problem is the federated average (FedAvg) [2, 3] algorithm. However, it suffers from client drift when the data is heterogeneous.
- In an attempt to tackle with this, FedProx was introduced li2020federated, which can be formulated as

$$x_{k+1} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \operatorname{prox}_{\gamma f_i}(x_k).$$
 (FedProx)

 Compared with gradient based algorithms, proximal algorithms are more stable.

## Introduction

- Proximal operators of a convex function can be viewed as projection to a certain level set of the function.
- It is known that the parallel projection methods for solving the convex feasibility problem is accelerated by a practice called extrapolation.

$$x_{k+1} = x_k + \alpha_k \left( \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \Pi_{\mathcal{X}_i} \left( x_k \right) - x_k \right).$$
 (2)

Here  $\alpha_k > 1$  is the extrapolation parameter, the intersection of convex sets  $\mathcal{X}_i$  is assumed to be non-empty.

This means that we move further along the line connecting the current iterate x<sub>k</sub> and the average projection point <sup>1</sup>/<sub>n</sub> ∑<sup>n</sup><sub>i=1</sub> Π<sub>Xi</sub> (x<sub>k</sub>).

## Motivation

- In this paper, we assume that the proximal operators are solved exactly with no inaccuracies.
- Given the similarity between the proximal operator and the projection operator, we propose to use extrapolation with FedProx.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ●の00

## Assumptions

- (Interpolation) There exists x<sub>\*</sub> ∈ ℝ<sup>d</sup> such that ∇f<sub>i</sub>(x<sub>\*</sub>) = 0 for all i ∈ [n].
- ► (Individual convexity) The function  $f_i : \mathbb{R}^d \mapsto \mathbb{R}$  satisfies  $0 \le f_i(x) - f_i(y) - \langle \nabla f_i(y), x - y \rangle$ , for all  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d$ .
- ▶ (Smoothness) The function  $f_i : \mathbb{R}^d \mapsto \mathbb{R}$  satisfies  $f_i(x) f_i(y) \langle \nabla f_i(y), x y \rangle \leq \frac{L_i}{2} ||x y||^2$ , for all  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d$ .

The interpolation assumption comes from the non-emptiness of convex feasibility.

### Constant extrapolation

For  $prox_{\gamma f_i}(x_k)$ , we know that the following identity holds,

$$abla M_{f_i}^{\gamma}(x_k) = rac{1}{\gamma} \left( x_k - \operatorname{prox}_{\gamma f_i}(x_k) 
ight),$$

where  $M_{f_i}^{\gamma}(x)$  is the Moreau envelope of  $f_i$ . This allows us to formulate the algorithm as

$$x_{k+1} = x_k - \alpha_k \gamma \cdot \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \nabla M_{f_i}^{\gamma}(x_k),$$

which is running SGD towards  $M^{\gamma}(x) := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} M_{f_i}^{\gamma}(x)$ .

The interpolation assumption guarantees that minimizers of f and M<sup>γ</sup> coincide.

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

#### Constant extrapolation

Assume Assumption 1, 2 and 3 holds, a fixed  $\alpha_k = \alpha \in (0, 2/\gamma L_{\gamma,\tau})$ , minibatch of size  $\tau$ , local stepsize  $\gamma$ , we have

$$\mathbb{E}\left[f(x_{\mathcal{K}})\right] - \inf f \leq C\left(\gamma, \tau, \alpha\right) \cdot \frac{\|x_0 - x_{\star}\|^2}{\mathcal{K}},$$
(3)

where

$$C(\gamma, \tau, \alpha) := \frac{1 + \gamma L_{\max}}{\alpha \gamma (2 - \alpha \gamma L_{\gamma, \tau})}$$
$$L_{\gamma, \tau} := \frac{n - \tau}{\tau (n - 1)} \frac{L_{\max}}{1 + \gamma L_{\max}} + \frac{n(\tau - 1)}{\tau (n - 1)} L_{\gamma}.$$

Here  $L_{\gamma}$  is the smoothness constant of  $M^{\gamma}(x)$ .

## Remarks

- The optimal constant extrapolation parameter is <sup>1</sup>/γL<sub>γ,τ</sub> > 1, resulting in C(γ, τ, α<sub>γ,τ</sub>) = L<sub>γ,τ</sub> (1 + γL<sub>max</sub>) ≤ L<sub>max</sub>, which indicates convergence.
- If we assume in addition that f is µ-strongly convex, we obtain linear convergence.
- The convergence rate of FedProx is given by C (γ, τ, 1), and we have

$$\frac{\mathcal{C}\left(\gamma,\tau,1\right)}{\mathcal{C}\left(\gamma,\tau,\alpha_{\gamma,\tau}\right)} \geq 2 + \gamma \mathcal{L}_{\mathsf{max}} + \frac{1}{\gamma \mathcal{L}_{\mathsf{max}}},$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ●の00

indicating the superiority of our algorithm compared to FedProx.

### Adaptive extrapolation

Since the extrapolation parameter  $\alpha_k$  is naturally connected to stepsize of SGD, we can use adaptive rules to determine it.

$$\alpha_{k,G} := \frac{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \|x_k - \operatorname{prox}_{\gamma f_i} (x_k)\|^2}{\left\|\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_k - \operatorname{prox}_{\gamma f_i} (x_k))\right\|^2} \ge 1.$$
 (GraDS)

$$\alpha_{k,S} := \frac{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left( M_{f_i}^{\gamma}(x_k) - \inf M_{f_i}^{\gamma} \right)}{\gamma \left\| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \nabla M_{f_i}^{\gamma}(x_k) \right\|^2} \ge \frac{1}{2\gamma L_{\gamma}}.$$
 (StoPS)

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ●の00

#### Adaptive extrapolation

Assume assumption 1, 2 and 3 holds, if we are using  $\alpha_k = \alpha_{k,G}$  in the full batch case, we have

$$\mathbb{E}\left[f(\bar{x}_{\mathcal{K}})\right] - \inf f \leq \frac{1 + \gamma L_{\max}}{2 + \gamma L_{\max}} \cdot \left(\frac{1}{\gamma} + L_{\max}\right) \cdot \frac{\|x_0 - x_\star\|^2}{\sum_{k=0}^{K-1} \alpha_{k,G}}, \quad (4)$$

where  $\bar{x}_{K}$  is chosen randomly from the first K iterates  $\{x_{0}, x_{1}, ..., x_{K-1}\}$  with probabilities  $p_{k} = \alpha_{k,G} / \sum_{k=0}^{K-1} \alpha_{k,G}$ . Similarly, if we are using  $\alpha_{k} = \alpha_{k,S}$ , we have

$$\mathbb{E}\left[f(\bar{x}_{\mathcal{K}})\right] - \inf f \leq \left(\frac{1}{\gamma} + L_{\max}\right) \cdot \frac{\|x_0 - x_\star\|^2}{\sum_{k=0}^{K-1} \alpha_{k,S}},\tag{5}$$

where  $\bar{x}_{K}$  is chosen randomly from the first K iterates  $\{x_{0}, x_{1}, ..., x_{K-1}\}$  with probabilities  $p_{k} = \alpha_{k,s} / \sum_{k=0}^{K-1} \alpha_{k,s}$ .

## Remarks

- We can extend the theorem into stochastic setting, using a stochastic version of the two adaptive stepsizes.
- Both FedExProx-GraDS and FedExProx-StoPS exhibits "semi-adaptivit". A small γ hinders convergence, however, setting it to 1/L<sub>max</sub> limits the worsening of the convergence to a factor of 2.

## Experiments

 Comparison of FedProx and FedExProx in the full batch or minibatch setting.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ 三三 - のへぐ



## Experiments

 Comparison of FedExP, FedExProx, FedExProx-GraDS and FedExProx-StoPS in terms of iteration complexity in the full batch or minibatch setting.



## Bibiliography I

Jakub Konečný, H Brendan McMahan, Felix X Yu, Peter Richtárik, Ananda Theertha Suresh, and Dave Bacon.

Federated learning: Strategies for improving communication efficiency. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1610.05492*, 8, 2016.

Olvi L Mangasarian and Mikhail V Solodov.

Backpropagation convergence via deterministic nonmonotone perturbed minimization.

Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems, 6, 1993.

Brendan McMahan, Eider Moore, Daniel Ramage, Seth Hampson, and Blaise Aguera y Arcas.

Communication-efficient learning of deep networks from decentralized data.

In Artificial Intelligence and Statistics, pages 1273–1282. PMLR, 2017.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ●の00