



## Zero-Shot Object Goal Navigation with Multi-Scale Geometric-Affordance Guidance

Shuaihang Yuan, Hao huang, Yu Hao, Congcong Wen, Antony Tzes, and Yi Fang

NYUAD Center for Artificial Intelligence and Robotics

Embodied AI&Robotics Lab

NYU Abu Dhabi





## **Object Goal Navigation (OGN)**

Object Goal Navigation requires both exploring the environment and identifying the semantic information of the scene to locate the desired object.







## **Object Goal Navigation (OGN)**

Object Goal Navigation requires both exploring the environment and identifying the semantic information of the scene to locate the desired object.

#### **Traditional OGN Approaches:** Perform well in trained environment







# **Object Goal Navigation (OGN)**

Object Goal Navigation requires both exploring the environment and identifying the semantic information of the scene to locate the desired object.

#### **Traditional OGN Approaches:** Perform well in trained environment

#### Zero-Shot OGN:

Able to navigate to unfamiliar objects in unknown environments without additional training.



















Fail to detect chair, explore selected frontier

Pass by the target object, fail to find chair

Observation: Prior art relies the zero-shot detector for categorical information understanding which often fall shot when only partial observation are given

















Fail to detect chair, explore selected frontier

Pass by the target object, fail to find chair

Observation: Prior art relies the zero-shot detector for categorical information understanding which often fall shot when only partial observation are given

Motivation: Human identify distinctive geometric parts or affordance attribute first when locating an object in an unfamiliar environment

















Fail to detect chair, explore selected frontier

Pass by the target object, fail to find chair

Observation: Prior art relies the zero-shot detector for categorical information understanding which often fall shot when only partial observation are given

Motivation: Human identify distinctive geometric parts or affordance attribute first when locating an object in an unfamiliar environment

**Our Solution: Multi-Scale Geometric Part and Affordance Map** 

Attribute "chair back" has high score: explore this area



Attribute "chair leg "and "chair back" has high score, persist in that direction





Locate chair, move towards it





#### **Our Solution: Multi-ScaleGeometric Part and Affordance Map**







Multiscale CLIP







Goal sofa



Time

The first line of images shows our pro- posed method, where the multi-scale approach effectively captures objects at all scales, such as the sofa back in the background. The second line of images shows the results of PIVOT-Liked GPT- 4V







GA score visualization between gradient-based and patch-based methods for the armrest, backrest, and seat attributes of a target chair. The gradient-based method (top row) often attends to irrelevant areas, such as the ceiling, while the patch-based method (bottom row) accurately focuses on the relevant areas





Table a: The table illustrates the differences between our work and existing methods.

| Method  | Manning              | Multi-Scale  | Zero-shot    | Training     |              |  |
|---------|----------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--|
| memou   | mapping              |              |              | Locomotion   | Semantic     |  |
| SemExp  | Categorical          | ×            | ×            | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  |
| ZSON    | Categorical          | ×            | ×            | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  |
| PixNav  | Categorical          | ×            | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | ×            |  |
| VLFM    | Categorical          | ×            | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | ×            |  |
| PONI    | Categorical          | ×            | $\checkmark$ | ×            | $\checkmark$ |  |
| L3MVN   | Categorical          | ×            | $\checkmark$ | ×            | $\checkmark$ |  |
| CoW     | Categorical          | ×            | $\checkmark$ | ×            | ×            |  |
| ESC     | Categorical          | ×            | $\checkmark$ | ×            | ×            |  |
| VoroNav | Categorical          | ×            | $\checkmark$ | ×            | ×            |  |
| GAMap   | Affordance+Geometric | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | ×            | ×            |  |

| Method            | Reference       | Zero-shot    | Training     |              | HM3D          |              | Gibson        |               |
|-------------------|-----------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|---------------|--------------|---------------|---------------|
| 1. <b>Letticu</b> |                 |              | Locomotion   | Semantic     | SR↑           | <b>SPL</b> ↑ | SR↑           | SPL↑          |
| SemExp            | NeurIPS 20 [2]  | ×            | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | 37.9          | 18.8         | 65.2          | 33.6          |
| ZSON              | NeurIPS 22 [26] | ×            | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | 25.5          | 12.6         | 31.3          | 12.0          |
| PixNav            | ICRA 24 [11]    | ×            | $\checkmark$ | ×            | 37.9          | 20.5         | -             | -             |
| VLFM              | CoRL 23 [17]    | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | ×            | 52.5          | 30.4         | 84.0          | 52.2          |
| PONI              | CVPR 22 [20]    | ×            | ×            | $\checkmark$ | -             | -            | 73.6          | 41.0          |
| FBE               | -               | $\checkmark$ | ×            | $\checkmark$ | 23.7          | 12.3         | 41.7          | 21.4          |
| L3MVN             | IROS 23 [21]    | $\checkmark$ | ×            | $\checkmark$ | 50.4          | 23.1         | 76.1          | 37.7          |
| Random            | -               | $\checkmark$ | ×            | ×            | 0.0           | 0.0          | 3.0           | 3.0           |
| CoW               | CVPR 23 [30]    | $\checkmark$ | ×            | ×            | 32.0          | 18.1         | -             | -             |
| ESC               | ICML 23 [5]     | $\checkmark$ | ×            | ×            | 38.5          | 22.0         | -             | -             |
| SemUtil           | RSS 23 [16]     | $\checkmark$ | ×            | ×            | -             | -            | 69.3          | 40.5          |
| VoroNav           | ICML 24 [18]    | $\checkmark$ | ×            | ×            | 42.0          | 26.0         | -             | -             |
| GAMap             | Proposed        | $\checkmark$ | ×            | ×            | 53.1 (†26.4%) | 26.0         | 85.7 (†23.7%) | 55.5 (†37.0%) |







Figure 7: Visualized results of last observation frame, navigation path, and GAMap.





Thank you!