







Structured Unrestricted-Rank Matrices for Parameter Efficient Fine-tuning

Arijit Sehanobish*, Avinava Dubey*, Krzysztof Choromanski*, Somnath Basu Roy Chowdhury*, Deepali Jain, Vikas Sindhwani, Snigdha Chaturvedi

> * Equal Contribution Correspondence to <u>arijit.sehanobish1@gmail.com</u>



Motivation



Popular PEFT Methods





LoRA

Adapters



Can we use other matrices which are not necessarily low rank in PEFT?

- *Structured Matrix* : a generic term for a m×n matrix **A** that can be represented by fewer than mn parameters. They reduce both space and time complexity when performing matrix multiplications.
- Simple example of such a matrix is a *low-rank matrix*.
- In this work, we focus on *structured matrices* that are not necessarily low rank, which we refer to as *Structured Unrestricted-Rank Matrices* (SURM). They support sub-quadratic matrix-vector multiplications.
 - Low Displacement Rank Matrices
 - Kronecker Product of matrices
- These matrices can be easily plugged in LoRA and Adapters in lieu of low-rank matrices.

Low Displacement Rank Matrices

A matrix **M** is said to have low displacement rank *r* if the displacement operator ∇ has rank *r*.

$$\nabla_{\mathbf{A},\mathbf{B}}(\mathbf{M}) := \mathbf{A}\mathbf{M} - \mathbf{M}\mathbf{B}$$

Low Displacement Rank Matrices

A matrix **M** is said to have low displacement rank *r* if the displacement operator ∇ has rank *r*.

$$\nabla_{\mathbf{A},\mathbf{B}}(\mathbf{M}) := \mathbf{A}\mathbf{M} - \mathbf{M}\mathbf{B}$$

• Choosing suitable **A**, **B** one can get a rich class of matrices including circulant, Toeplitz matrices, products and inverses of Toeplitz matrices and *low rank matrices*.

Low Displacement Rank Matrices

A matrix **M** is said to have low displacement rank *r* if the displacement operator ∇ has rank *r*.

$$\nabla_{\mathbf{A},\mathbf{B}}(\mathbf{M}) := \mathbf{A}\mathbf{M} - \mathbf{M}\mathbf{B}$$

- Choosing suitable **A**, **B** one can get a rich class of matrices including circulant, Toeplitz matrices, products and inverses of Toeplitz matrices and *low rank matrices*.
- Thus this framework is *strictly more general* than what is considered in the literature.

$$\begin{bmatrix} c_{0} & c_{n-1} & \dots & c_{2} & c_{1} \\ c_{1} & c_{0} & c_{n-1} & \dots & c_{2} \\ \vdots & c_{1} & c_{0} & \ddots & \vdots \\ c_{m-2} & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ c_{m-1} & c_{m-2} & \dots & c_{m} \end{bmatrix}$$
(a) Circulant

$$\begin{bmatrix} c_{0} & c_{n-1} & \dots & c_{2} & c_{1} \\ c_{1} & c_{0} & c_{n-1} & \dots & c_{2} \\ \vdots & c_{1} & c_{0} & \ddots & \vdots \\ c_{m-2} & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ c_{m-1} & c_{m-2} & \dots & c_{m} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a_{0} & a_{-1} & \dots & a_{-(n-1)} \\ a_{1} & a_{0} & a_{-1} & \dots & \vdots \\ a_{2} & a_{1} & a_{0} & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & a_{-1} \\ a_{m-1} & \dots & a_{1} & a_{0} \end{bmatrix}$$
(a) Circulant (b) Toeplitz



$$\begin{bmatrix} c_{0} & c_{n-1} & \cdots & c_{2} & c_{1} \\ c_{1} & c_{0} & c_{n-1} & \cdots & c_{2} \\ \vdots & c_{1} & c_{0} & \ddots & \vdots \\ c_{m-2} & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ c_{m-1} & c_{m-2} & \cdots & \cdots & c_{m} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a_{0} & a_{-1} & \cdots & a_{-(n-1)} \\ a_{1} & a_{0} & a_{-1} & \cdots & \vdots \\ a_{2} & a_{1} & a_{0} & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & a_{-1} \\ a_{m-1} & \cdots & a_{1} & a_{0} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a_{11}\mathbf{B} & \cdots & a_{1n}\mathbf{B} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_{m1}\mathbf{B} & \cdots & a_{mn}\mathbf{B} \end{bmatrix}$$
(a) Circulant
(b) Toeplitz
(c) Kronecker

(d) General matrices of the form : $\mathbf{W}(\mathbf{G}, \mathbf{H}) = \sum_{i=1}^{r} \mathbf{Z}_{1}(\mathbf{g}_{i})\mathbf{Z}_{-1}(\mathbf{h}_{i})$ where $\mathbf{Z}_{f}(\mathbf{v}) = \begin{bmatrix} v_{0} & fv_{n-1} & \cdots & fv_{1} \\ v_{1} & v_{0} & \cdots & fv_{2} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & fv_{n-1} \\ v_{n-1} & \cdots & v_{1} & v_{0} \end{bmatrix}$

SURMs are good approximators



- SURMs show better approximation quality than low-rank matrices.
- Circulant and Toeplitz matrices show comparable approximation qualities to that of more general W(G,H) which is why they are the major focus of our work.

Low-Rank Matrices can struggle to fit the data

- Create a pinwheel dataset and we fit the data with a simple neural network with one hidden layer.
- Vary the type of the hidden layer with low rank matrices and SURMs.



Fitting a synthetic dataset with Llama-2-7b

• Investigate if large ranks are needed for learning new tasks.



Fitting a synthetic dataset with Llama-2-7b

• Investigate if large ranks are needed for learning new tasks.



TLDR: Higher ranks and more expressive matrices may be required to learn tasks outside of its training distribution.

• Image Classification on CiFAR-10, CiFAR-100, DTD, SUN397 and STL10.



• Low Resource setting :



• Low Resource setting :



• Large Data regimes : SURM match performance to full fine tuning on ImageNet and INaturalist 2021 datasets while using only .06% of training parameters.

• Low Resource setting :



• Large Data regimes : SURM match performance to full fine tuning on ImageNet and INaturalist 2021 datasets while using only .06% of training parameters.

• Medical Image Segmentation : SURMs match performance of specialized architectures like U-Nets and outperforms LoRA on the Synapse multi-organ segmentation dataset.

NLP Experiments



- Results on the GLUE benchmark with SURM-Adapters.
- SURM (integrated into LoRA) outperforms the baseline LoRA, under the same parameter budget.

For more results see our paper and come to our poster session

Thank you!