



Virtual Scanning

Unsupervised Non-line-of-sight Imaging from Irregularly Undersampled Transients

Xingyu Cui¹ Huanjing Yue¹ Song Li^{2,3} Xiangjun Yin¹ Yusen Hou¹ YunMeng^{2,3} KaiZou^{2,3} Xiaolong Hu^{2,3} Jingyu Yang^{1,*}

¹School of Electrical and Information Engineering, Tianjin University, China ²School of Precision Instrument and Optoelectronic Engineering, Tianjin University, China ³Key Lab. of Optoelectronic Information Science and Technology, Ministry of Education, China

Non-line-of-sight Imaging (NLOS imaging)





Rescue Operations



Remote Sensing



Autonomous Driving



Medical Imaging

¹Wave-Based Non-Line-of-Sight Imaging using Fast f –k Migration

Non-line-of-sight Imaging (NLOS imaging)





Rescue Operations



Remote Sensing



Autonomous Driving



Medical Imaging

¹Wave-Based Non-Line-of-Sight Imaging using Fast f –k Migration

Non-line-of-sight Imaging from Irregularly Undersampled Transient



$$au(p,t) = \int_Q rac{\kappa(q)}{\|p-q\|^4} \cdot \delta(2\|p-q\|-tc) dq$$

Model Simplification

 $u = H\rho$

Non-line-of-sight Imaging from Irregularly Undersampled Transient



Motivation



Motivation

To solve the challenge inverse problem:

Supervised Learning





Motivation

Measurement Consistency (MC) is not enough for unsupervised learning.

 $\mathbb{E}_{u}\left|\left|Hf_{\theta}(u)-u\right|\right|_{2}^{2}$

Range-Null Space Decomposition



Unsupervised learning with MC Loss



 $egin{aligned} \mathcal{R}_{H} : \mathcal{D}_{r}(
ho) &= H^{\dagger}H
ho & \mathcal{N}_{H} : \mathcal{D}_{n}(
ho) &= (I-H^{\dagger}H)
ho &
ho^{(1)} &= f_{ heta}(u) &= \mathcal{D}_{r}(
ho) + F_{ heta}(\mathcal{D}_{r}(
ho)) &= \mathcal{D}_{r}(
ho) + v_{n}, orall v_{n} \in \mathcal{N}_{H} \ \mathcal{R}_{H} &= \{H^{ op}u, u \in \mathbb{R}^{st}\} & \mathcal{N}_{H} &= \{v \in \mathbb{R}^{l^{2}z} \mid Hv = 0\} & H(\mathcal{D}_{r}(
ho) + v_{n}) &= HH^{\dagger}H
ho + Hv_{n} = u \end{aligned}$

Virtual Scanning: learn to recover null-space component



Virtual Scanning Process

Recover Null-space Component



VS Loss

$$\rho^{(2)} \to \rho^{(1)}$$

Equal to

$$F_{\theta}\left(\mathcal{D}_{r}(\rho^{(1)})\right) + \mathcal{D}_{r}(\rho^{(1)}) \to \mathcal{D}_{n}(\rho^{(1)}) + \mathcal{D}_{r}(\rho^{(1)})$$
$$F_{\theta}\left(\mathcal{D}_{r}(\rho^{(1)})\right) \to \mathcal{D}_{n}(\rho^{(1)})$$

SURE-based Denoiser

The noise model of NLOS imaging

 $\tilde{u} \sim Poisson(u+b)$



- Our SURE-denoiser considers the physical detector model of NLOS, which works in a low-photon condition.
- The denoiser can be also trained without paired data.

Stein's Unbiased Risk Estimation (SURE) framework can derive the unbiased estimator of supervised loss function

$$\mathbb{E}_{\{ ilde{u},u\}}\Big\{\sum_{j=1}^Jrac{1}{st}\|u_j-F_{\phi}(ilde{u}_j)\|^2\Big\}=\mathbb{E}_u\Big\{\sum_{j=1}^Jrac{1}{st}\mathbb{E}_{ ilde{u}|u}\|u_j-F_{\phi}(ilde{u}_j)\|^2\Big\}$$

The unsupervised learning SURE-based loss function

$$egin{aligned} &\sum_{j=1}^J rac{1}{st}ig\{\| ilde{u}_j - F_{\phi}(ilde{u}_j)\|^2 - (\mathbf{1}+b)^ op ilde{u}_j + \ &2b^ op F_{\phi}(ilde{u}_j) + rac{2}{arepsilon}(e_j\odot ilde{u}_j)^ op (F_{\phi}(ilde{u}_j + arepsilon e_j) - F_{\phi}(ilde{u}_j))ig\} \end{aligned}$$

Training Details



 $egin{split} \mathcal{L}_{ ext{SURE}} &= \mathbb{E}_{\{i,g\}}ig\{rac{1}{st}\| ilde{u}_{i,g} - F_{\phi}(ilde{u}_{i,g})\|_2^2 - rac{1}{st}(1+b)^ op ilde{u}_{i,g} + \ &rac{2}{st}b^ op F_{\phi}(ilde{u}_{i,g}) + rac{2}{starepsilon}(e_{i,g}\odot ilde{u}_{i,g})^ op ig(F_{\phi}(ilde{u}_{i,g}+arepsilon e_{i,g}) - F_{\phi}(ilde{u}_{i,g})ig)ig\} \end{split}$

• Second stage

 $egin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{ ext{VS}} &= \mathbb{E}_{\{i,g\}} \| f_{ heta}(\hat{u}_{i,g}) - f_{ heta}(H_k(f_{ heta}(\hat{u}_{i,g})) \|_2^2 \ \mathcal{L}_{ ext{MC}} &= \mathbb{E}_{\{i,g\}} \| \hat{u}_{i,g} - H_g(f_{ heta}(\hat{u}_{i,g})) \|_2^2 \end{aligned}$



Experiment Setup

• How to create a group of forward operators



Results on public real-world dataset



Results on public real-world dataset



Results on self-captured real-world dataset



Inference Time

Method	LCT	FK	RSD	NeTF	USM	CC-SOCR	Ours
Runtime(CPU)	0.81 s	1.52 s	0.94 s	N/A	2.34 s	7.73 h	2.24 s
Runtime(GPU)	0.09 s	0.15 s	0.12 s	0.69 h	0.24 s	N/A	0.18 s

Ablation Study



Quantitative Results

SURE-based denoiser	Virtual Scanning Process	PSNR (dB)
×		18.69
	×	19.63
		20.52





Virtual Scanning

Unsupervised Non-line-of-sight Imaging from Irregularly Undersampled Transients

Xingyu Cui¹ Huanjing Yue¹ Song Li^{2,3} Xiangjun Yin¹ Yusen Hou¹ YunMeng^{2,3} KaiZou^{2,3} Xiaolong Hu^{2,3} Jingyu Yang^{1,*}

¹School of Electrical and Information Engineering, Tianjin University, China ²School of Precision Instrument and Optoelectronic Engineering, Tianjin University, China ³Key Lab. of Optoelectronic Information Science and Technology, Ministry of Education, China