1. University of Alberta, 2. Google Deepmind

## **Trajectory Data Suffices for Statistically Efficient**  Learning in Offline RL with Linear  $q^{\pi}$ – Realizability **and Concentrability** *qπ* −

**Vlad Tkachuk<sup>1</sup>**, Gellért Weisz<sup>2</sup>, Csaba Szepesvári<sup>1,2</sup>



1. University of Alberta, 2. Google Deepmind

# **Offline RL** Needs **Less Data** if you Have **Trajectories**

**Vlad Tkachuk<sup>1</sup>**, Gellért Weisz<sup>2</sup>, Csaba Szepesvári<sup>1,2</sup>

### Safety concerns (ex: healthcare)

## **Motivation (learning with offline data)**



## **Motivation (learning with offline data)**

![](_page_3_Picture_1.jpeg)

### Safety concerns (ex: healthcare)

![](_page_3_Picture_3.jpeg)

There is a lot of offline data available (ex: the entire internet)

- 
- 
- 
- 
- (Future work) What's next?

• (Setting) What is the problem? • (Related works) What did we know? • (Our result) What we know now! • (Our method) How we know it...

## **Overview**

### Finite-Horizon Markov Decision Process (MDP):  $(S, \mathcal{A}, P, \mathcal{R}, H, s_1)$

### Finite-Horizon Markov Decision Process (**MDP**):  $(S, \mathcal{A}, P, \mathcal{R}, H, s_1)$  $=$   $\bigcup S_h$  (State space): where  ${\mathcal S}_h$  is the set of states at stage h *h*∈[*H*]

$$
[H] = \{1,\ldots,H\}
$$

### Finite-Horizon Markov Decision Process (**MDP**):  $(S, \mathcal{A}, P, \mathcal{R}, H, s_1)$  $=$   $\bigcup S_h$  (State space): where  ${\mathcal S}_h$  is the set of states at stage h  $h \in [H]$

**(Action space)**: A finite set of actions

$$
[H] = \{1,\ldots,H\}
$$

## Finite-Horizon Markov Decision Process (**MDP**):  $(S, \mathcal{A}, P, \mathcal{R}, H, s_1)$  $=$   $\bigcup S_h$  (State space): where  ${\mathcal S}_h$  is the set of states at stage h **(Action space)**: A finite set of actions  $P: {\mathcal{S}}_h \times \mathscr{A} \to {\mathscr{M}}_1({\mathcal{S}}_{h+1})$  (Transition function) *h*∈[*H*]

9  $\mathcal{M}_1(X)$  = Set of probability distributions over the set X  $[H] = \{1, ..., H\}$ 

![](_page_8_Picture_8.jpeg)

Finite-Horizon Markov Decision Process (**MDP**):  $(S, \mathcal{A}, P, \mathcal{R}, H, s_1)$  $=$   $\bigcup S_h$  (State space): where  ${\mathcal S}_h$  is the set of states at stage h **(Action space)**: A finite set of actions  $P: {\mathcal{S}}_h \times \mathscr{A} \to {\mathscr{M}}_1({\mathcal{S}}_{h+1})$  (Transition function)  $r: \mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{A} \rightarrow [0,1]$  (Reward function) [Deterministic for convenience] *h*∈[*H*]

$$
[H] = \{1, ..., H\}
$$
  

$$
\mathcal{M}_1(X) = \text{Set of probability distributions over the set } X
$$

![](_page_9_Picture_8.jpeg)

Finite-Horizon Markov Decision Process (**MDP**):  $(S, \mathcal{A}, P, \mathcal{R}, H, s_1)$  $=$   $\bigcup S_h$  (State space): where  ${\mathcal S}_h$  is the set of states at stage h **(Action space)**: A finite set of actions  $P: {\mathcal{S}}_h \times \mathscr{A} \to {\mathscr{M}}_1({\mathcal{S}}_{h+1})$  (Transition function)  $r: \mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{A} \rightarrow [0,1]$  (Reward function) [Deterministic for convenience]  $H \geq 1$  (Horizon) *h*∈[*H*]

$$
[H] = \{1, ..., H\}
$$
  

$$
\mathcal{M}_1(X) = \text{Set of probability distributions over the set } X
$$

![](_page_10_Picture_6.jpeg)

Finite-Horizon Markov Decision Process (**MDP**):  $(S, \mathcal{A}, P, \mathcal{R}, H, s_1)$  $=$   $\bigcup S_h$  (State space): where  ${\mathcal S}_h$  is the set of states at stage h **(Action space)**: A finite set of actions  $P: {\mathcal{S}}_h \times \mathscr{A} \to {\mathscr{M}}_1({\mathcal{S}}_{h+1})$  (Transition function)  $r: \mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{A} \rightarrow [0,1]$  (Reward function) [Deterministic for convenience]  $H \geq 1$  (Horizon)  $s_1 \in \mathcal{S}_1$  (Start state) *h*∈[*H*]

12 *M*<sub>1</sub>(*X*) = Set of probability distributions over the set *X*  $[H] = \{1, ..., H\}$ 

![](_page_11_Picture_8.jpeg)

## **Example: MDP**

![](_page_12_Picture_1.jpeg)

## **Agent's Behaviour: Policy**

### $\pi$  :  $\mathcal{S} \to \mathcal{M}_1(\mathcal{A})$  (Policy): A map from states to distributions over actions

14 *M*<sub>1</sub>(*X*) = Set of probability distributions over the set *X* 

![](_page_13_Picture_4.jpeg)

### Definitions  $((s_h, a_h) \in S_h \times \mathcal{A}$  and  $h \in [H]$ ):  $v^{\pi}(s_h) = \mathbb{E}_{\pi} \left[ \sum_{t=h}^{H} r(S_t, A_t) \right] S_h = s_h$  (State-value function) *<sup>π</sup>* [∑ *H t*=*h*  $r(S_t, A_t) | S_h = s_h$

- 
- 

### Definitions  $((s_h, a_h) \in S_h \times \mathcal{A}$  and  $h \in [H]$ ):  $v^{\pi}(s_h) = \mathbb{E}_{\pi} \left[ \sum_{t=h}^{H} r(S_t, A_t) \right] S_h = s_h$  (State-value function) *<sup>π</sup>* [∑ *H t*=*h*  $r(S_t, A_t) | S_h = s_h$

**(Optimal policy)**  $\pi^*$  = arg max<sub> $\pi$ </sub>  $v^{\pi}(s_1)$ 

- 
- 

### Definitions  $((s_h, a_h) \in S_h \times \mathcal{A}$  and  $h \in [H]$ ):  $v^{\pi}(s_h) = \mathbb{E}_{\pi} \left[ \sum_{t=h}^{H} r(S_t, A_t) \right] S_h = s_h$  (State-value function) *<sup>π</sup>* [∑ *H t*=*h*  $r(S_t, A_t) | S_h = s_h$

**(Optimal policy)**  $\pi^*$  = arg max<sub> $\pi$ </sub>  $v^{\pi}(s_1)$ 

**Problem:** For any  $\epsilon > 0$ , with access to offline data of size  $n = \text{poly}(1/\epsilon, H, |\mathcal{S}|, |\mathcal{A}|)$ , find a policy  $\pi$  such that:

- 
- $v^{\pi^*}(s_1) v^{\pi}(s_1) \leq \epsilon$

![](_page_16_Picture_8.jpeg)

### Definitions  $((s_h, a_h) \in S_h \times \mathcal{A}$  and  $h \in [H]$ ):  $v^{\pi}(s_h) = \mathbb{E}_{\pi} \left[ \sum_{t=h}^{H} r(S_t, A_t) \right] S_h = s_h$  (State-value function) *<sup>π</sup>* [∑ *H t*=*h*  $r(S_t, A_t) | S_h = s_h$

**(Optimal policy)**  $\pi^*$  = arg max<sub> $\pi$ </sub>  $v^{\pi}(s_1)$ 

**Problem:** For any  $\epsilon > 0$ , with access to offline data of size  $n = \text{poly}(1/\epsilon, H, |\mathcal{S}|, |\mathcal{A}|)$ , find a policy  $\pi$  such that:  $\nu^{\pi^*}(s_1) - \nu^{\pi}$ 

![](_page_17_Picture_9.jpeg)

### (i.e. Find a **good policy** with a **small amount** of **offline data**)

$$
)-\nu^{\pi}(s_1)\leq \epsilon
$$

## **Example: Offline Data**

19 **Offline data**  $(n = 1)$ :  $((s_1, a_1, 0, s_2), (s'_2, a_1, 0.5, s_3), (s_3, a_2, 0, s_3))$  $h = 1$   $h = 2$   $h = 3$ 

![](_page_18_Picture_1.jpeg)

## **Example: Offline Data**

20 **Offline data**  $(n = 1)$ :  $((s_1, a_1, 0, s_2), (s'_2, a_1, 0.5, s_3), (s_3, a_2, 0, s_3))$  $h = 1$   $h = 2$   $h = 3$ 

i.e. **Not** trajectory data

### *s*1 *a*1 $a_{2}$  $r = 0.5$ *r* $r = 0$

## Notice  $s_2 \neq s'_2$

![](_page_19_Figure_6.jpeg)

## **The State Space is Very Very Large!**

The number of states  $|\mathcal{S}|$  can be very large!

*Examples:* **Chess, Robotics,** Go, Self-driving, etc.

- 
- 

# **Problem:** For any  $\epsilon > 0$ , with access to offline data of size  $n = \text{poly}(1/\epsilon, H, |\mathcal{S}|, |\mathcal{A}|)$ , find a policy  $\pi$  such that:

![](_page_21_Picture_6.jpeg)

- 
- $v^{\pi^*}(s_1) v^{\pi}(s_1) \leq \epsilon$
- (i.e. Find a **good policy** with a **small amount** of **offline data**)

# **Problem:** For any  $\epsilon > 0$ , with access to offline data of size  $n = \text{poly}(1/\epsilon, H, ||S||, ||\mathcal{A}||)$ , find a policy  $\pi$  such that:

![](_page_22_Picture_6.jpeg)

- 
- $v^{\pi^*}(s_1) v^{\pi}(s_1) \leq \epsilon$
- (i.e. Find a **good policy** with a **small amount** of **offline data**)

# **Problem:** For any  $\epsilon > 0$ , with access to offline data of size  $n = \text{poly}(1/\epsilon, H, d, |\mathcal{A}|)$ , find a policy  $\pi$  such that:

![](_page_23_Picture_6.jpeg)

- 
- $v^{\pi^*}(s_1) v^{\pi}(s_1) \leq \epsilon$
- (i.e. Find a **good policy** with a **small amount** of **offline data**)

## **Overview**

- 
- 
- 
- 
- (Future work) What's next?

• (Setting) What is the problem? • (Related works) What did we know? • (Our result) What we know now! • (Our method) How we know it...

26

![](_page_25_Picture_6.jpeg)

## **MDP**

All All

![](_page_25_Picture_0.jpeg)

### **Offline data of size** *n* = poly(…)

![](_page_26_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_26_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_26_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_26_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_27_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_27_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_27_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_27_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_28_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_28_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_28_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_28_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_29_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_29_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_29_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_29_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_30_Figure_1.jpeg)

1. [Foster et al., 2021]

![](_page_30_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_30_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_30_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_31_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_31_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_31_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_31_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_32_Picture_84.jpeg)

![](_page_32_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_32_Figure_1.jpeg)

1. [Foster et al., 2021]

![](_page_32_Picture_9.jpeg)

![](_page_32_Picture_10.jpeg)

### **MDP**

### **MDP**

![](_page_33_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_33_Figure_1.jpeg)

1. [Foster et al., 2021]

![](_page_33_Picture_11.jpeg)

![](_page_33_Picture_12.jpeg)

![](_page_33_Picture_89.jpeg)

### **MDP**

### Linear MDP

![](_page_34_Picture_10.jpeg)

![](_page_34_Picture_11.jpeg)

### **MDP**

![](_page_34_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_34_Figure_1.jpeg)

1. [Foster et al., 2021]

![](_page_34_Picture_95.jpeg)

### **MDP**

*π* **i.e. Transition & Reward function approximation**

### Linear MDP

![](_page_35_Picture_14.jpeg)

![](_page_35_Picture_15.jpeg)

![](_page_35_Picture_16.jpeg)

### **MDP**

### All All

### Concentrability  $\parallel$   $\parallel$  Linearly  $q^{\pi}$ -realizable

### Linear MDP

1. [Foster et al., 2021], 2.[Chen and Jiang, 2019]

![](_page_35_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_35_Picture_104.jpeg)

![](_page_35_Figure_1.jpeg)

### **MDP**

## **Offline data of size** *n* = poly(…)

![](_page_36_Picture_15.jpeg)

![](_page_36_Picture_16.jpeg)

![](_page_36_Picture_17.jpeg)

### **MDP**

### All All

### Concentrability  $\parallel$   $\parallel$  Linearly  $q^{\pi}$ -realizable

### Linear MDP

1. [Foster et al., 2021], 2.[Chen and Jiang, 2019]

![](_page_36_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_36_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_36_Picture_109.jpeg)

### **MDP**

### **Offline data of size** *n* = poly(…)

Something stronger than concentrability

![](_page_37_Picture_15.jpeg)

![](_page_37_Picture_16.jpeg)

![](_page_37_Picture_17.jpeg)

### **MDP**

### All All

### Concentrability  $\parallel$   $\parallel$  Linearly  $q^{\pi}$ -realizable

### Linear MDP

1. [Foster et al., 2021], 2.[Chen and Jiang, 2019]

![](_page_37_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_37_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_37_Picture_108.jpeg)

### **MDP**

### **Offline data of size** *n* = poly(…)

Concentrability & Trajectory data

![](_page_38_Figure_1.jpeg)

1. [Foster et al., 2021], 2.[Chen and Jiang, 2019]

![](_page_38_Picture_9.jpeg)

![](_page_38_Figure_2.jpeg)

## **Example: Offline Data**

40 **Offline data**  $(n = 1)$ :  $((s_1, a_1, 0, s_2), (s'_2, a_1, 0.5, s_3), (s_3, a_2, 0, s_3))$  $h = 1$   $h = 2$   $h = 3$ 

i.e. **Not** trajectory data

### *s*1 *a*1 $a_{2}$  $r = 0.5$ *r* $r = 0$

## Notice  $s_2 \neq s'_2$

![](_page_39_Figure_6.jpeg)

## **Example: Offline Trajectory Data**

41 **Offline data**  $(n = 1)$ :  $((s_1, a_1, 0, s_2], (s_2, a_1, 1, s_3), (s_3, a_2, 0, s_3))$  $h = 1$   $h = 2$   $h = 3$ *a*<sub>1</sub> ∼ *π*<sub>*g*</sub>(*s*<sub>1</sub>) *a*<sub>1</sub> ∼ *π<sub><i>g*</sub>(*s*<sub>2</sub>) *a*<sub>2</sub> ∼ *π<sub><i>g*</sub>(*s*<sub>3</sub>)

### *s*1 *a*1 $a_{2}$  $r = 0.5$ *r* $r = 0$ **Notice** *s*<sub>2</sub>

![](_page_40_Figure_5.jpeg)

### i.e. **trajectory data**

## **Overview**

- 
- 
- 
- 
- (Future work) What's next?

• (Setting) What is the problem? • (Related works) What did we know? • (Our result) What we know now! • (Our method) How we know it...

## **(Our result) What we know now!**

![](_page_42_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_42_Picture_9.jpeg)

![](_page_42_Picture_10.jpeg)

![](_page_42_Figure_1.jpeg)

1. [Foster et al., 2021], 2.[Chen and Jiang, 2019]

### **MDP**

## **(Our result) What we know now! Theorem**

**Theorem [This work]:** For any  $\epsilon > 0$ , with linear  $q^{\pi}$ -realizability and access to offline trajectory data (satisfying *concentrability*) of size  $n = \text{poly}(1/\epsilon, H, d, C)$ , our algorithm outputs a policy  $\pi$  such that:

 $v^{\pi^*}(s_1) - v^{\pi}(s_1) \leq \epsilon$ 

## **Overview**

- 
- 
- 
- 
- (Future work) What's next?

• (Setting) What is the problem? • (Related works) What did we know? • (Our result) What we know now! • (Our method) How we know it...

## **(Our method) How we know it…**

### **Our Algorithm (roughly):**

Modify the linearly  $q^{\pi}$ -realizable MDP to be a linear MDP Run an algorithm that works in linear MDPs

- 
- 
- 
- 
- (Future work) What's next?

• (Setting) What is the problem? • (Related works) What did we know? • (Our result) What we know now! • (Our method) How we know it...

## **Overview**

## **(Future work) What's next?**

### Our algorithm isn't computationally efficient (not poly( $1/\epsilon, H, d, C$ ))

**Open problem:** Can the problem be solved computationally efficiently?

## **(Future work) What's next?**

### Our algorithm isn't computationally efficient (not poly( $1/\epsilon, H, d, C$ ))

**Open problem:** Can the problem be solved computationally efficiently?

We require 
$$
n = \tilde{\Omega} (C^4 H^7 d^4 / \epsilon^2)
$$

![](_page_48_Picture_8.jpeg)

**Open problem:** What is the best possible *n*?

![](_page_49_Picture_1.jpeg)

## **References**

J. Chen and N. Jiang. Information-theoretic considerations in batch reinforcement learning. In *International Conference on Machine Learning*, pages 1042–1051. PMLR, 2019.

D. J. Foster, A. Krishnamurthy, D. Simchi-Levi, and Y. Xu. Offline reinforcement learning: Fundamental barriers for value function approximation. *arXiv preprint arXiv*:2111.10919, 2021.

G. Weisz, A. György, and C. Szepesvári. Online rl in linearly qpi-realizable mdps is as easy as in 368 linear mdps if you learn what to ignore. *arXiv preprint arXiv*:2310.07811, 2023.