# Prospective Learning: Learning for a Dynamic Future

Ashwin De Silva<sup>1\*</sup>, Rahul Ramesh<sup>2\*</sup>, Rubing Yang<sup>2\*</sup>, Siyu Yu<sup>1</sup>, Joshua T. Vogelstein<sup>2,†</sup>, Pratik Chaudhari<sup>2,†</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Johns Hopkins University, <sup>2</sup>University of Pennsylvania †,\* Equal Contribution

Arxiv: arxiv.org/abs/2411.00109 Code: github.com/neurodata/prolearn





# PAC-learning meets time

PAC learning assumes that the distribution of future samples is identical to the past.

But what if the distribution or goals change over time?



We propose **prospective learning**, a theoretical framework which defines learnability with respect to a stochastic process.

### **Prospective learning**

**Data.**  $z_t = (x_t, y_t)$  is the datum at time *t*. Data is drawn from a stochastic process  $Z \equiv (Z_t)_{t \in \mathbb{N}}$ .

**Hypothesis class**: A prospective learner selects an infinite sequence of hypotheses  $h \equiv (h_1, \ldots, h_t, h_{t+1}, \ldots)$  where  $h_t : \mathcal{X} \mapsto \mathcal{Y}$ .

**Prospective loss**: Future loss incurred by a hypothesis *h* 

$$\bar{\ell}_t(h,Z) = \limsup_{\tau \to \infty} \frac{1}{\tau} \sum_{s=t+1}^{t+\tau} \ell(s,h_s(X_s),Y_s)$$

**Prospective risk**: Prospective risk at time t is the expected future loss

$$R_t(h) = \mathbb{E}\left[\bar{\ell}_t(h, Z) \mid z_{\leq t}\right] = \int \bar{\ell}_t(h, Z) \, \mathrm{d}\mathbb{P}_{Z \mid z_{\leq t}}$$

# **Prospective learnability**

#### Definition (Strong Prospective Learnability)

A family of stochastic processes is strongly prospectively learnable, if there exists a learner with the following property: there exists a time  $t'(\epsilon, \delta)$  such that for any  $\epsilon, \delta > 0$  and for any stochastic process Z from this family, the learner outputs a hypothesis h such that

$$\mathbb{P}\left[R_t(h) - R_t^* < \epsilon\right] \ge 1 - \delta,$$

for any t > t'.

# **Prospective learnability**

#### Theorem (Prospective ERM is a strong prospective learner)

Consider a finite family of stochastic processes Z. If we have (a) consistency, i.e., there exists an increasing sequence of hypothesis classes  $\mathcal{H}_1 \subseteq \mathcal{H}_2 \subseteq \ldots$  with each  $\mathcal{H}_t \subseteq (\mathcal{Y}^{\mathcal{X}})^{\mathbb{N}}$  such that  $\forall Z \in Z$ ,

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} \mathbb{E}\left[\inf_{h \in \mathcal{H}_t} R_t(h) - R_t^*\right] = 0,$$
(1)

where  $h \in \mathcal{H}_t$  is a random variable in  $\sigma(Z_{\leq t})$ , and (b) <u>uniform concentration of the limsup</u>, i.e.,  $\forall Z \in \mathcal{Z}$ ,

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\max_{h\in\mathcal{H}_t} \left|\bar{\ell}_t(h,Z) - \max_{u_t\leq m\leq t} \frac{1}{m} \sum_{s=1}^m \ell(s,h_s(x_s),y_s)\right|\right] \leq \gamma_t,\tag{2}$$

for some  $\gamma_t \to 0$  and  $u_t \to \infty$  with  $u_t \leq t$  (all uniform over the family of stochastic processes), then there exists a sequence  $i_t$  that depends only on  $\gamma_t$  such that a learner that returns

$$\hat{h} = \underset{h \in \mathcal{H}_{i_t}}{\arg\min} \max_{u_{i_t} \le m \le t} \frac{1}{m} \sum_{s=1}^m \ell(s, h_s(x_s), y_s),$$
(3)

is a strong prospective learner for this family. We define Prospective ERM as the learner that implements (3) given train data  $z_{\le t}$ .

### Implementing a prospective learner

We encode absolute time using sines and cosines

 $t \mapsto \varphi(t) = (\sin(\omega_1 t), \dots, \sin(\omega_{d/2} t), \cos(\omega_1 t), \dots, \cos(\omega_{d/2} t))$ 



The neural network is a function of both absolute time t and input x.

The time encoding can be concatenated near the first few closer to the last few layers.

### **Experimental results**

