

# **TALOS**: Enhancing Semantic Scene Completion via Test-time Adaptation on the Line of Sight

NeurIPS 2024

Hyun-kurl Jang\*, Jihun Kim\*, Hyeokjun Kweon\* and Kuk-Jin Yoon

Visual Intelligence Laboratory, KAIST





TION

ROCESSING SYSTEMS

#### Introduction

- LiDAR Semantic Scene Completion (SSC)
  - Aim to solve semantic segmentation & point cloud completion task at once





#### Motivation

- Observations from different moment for SSC prediction
  - Observation at one moment can be a clue for SSC prediction at the other moment.
  - We propose a test-time adaptation approach, self-enhancing the SSC model using this idea.



- Test-time Adaptation via Line of Sight (TALoS)
  - We apply a transformation to point cloud from another moment mark occupied in voxels.
  - We use the Line of Sight to identify which voxels should not be occupied.
  - Generated binary completion map serves as supervision for enhancing completion.







- Extension for semantic perception
  - We measure reliability of prediction and utilize only reliable points for pseudo-GT.
  - We obtain pseudo-GT from the current and another moment, then aggregate them.
  - Final pseudo-GT serves as supervision for addressing perception of surroundings.







- Dual optimization scheme for gradual adaptation
  - We propose dual optimization scheme to effectively adapt the model.
  - Our approach involves two models:  $\mathcal{F}^{M}$  for instant adaptation,  $\mathcal{F}^{G}$  for gradual adaptation.



- Dual optimization scheme for gradual adaptation
  - We simply utilize current and previous moment for updating  $\mathcal{F}^{M}$ .
  - We initialize  $\mathcal{F}^M$  every moment and discard it at the end of the moment.





- Dual optimization scheme for gradual adaptation
  - The guidance from future observations can be more important and valuable than the past.
  - We delay the update until future observations become available.





- Dual optimization scheme for gradual adaptation
  - Once  $\mathcal{F}^{G}$  arrives at next moment (which was future at prediction), the update is performed.
  - We initialize  $\mathcal{F}^{G}$  only at the first step and continuously utilize it for prediction.





- Dual optimization scheme for gradual adaptation
  - For each moment, results  $\mathbf{p}_i^M$  and  $\mathbf{p}_i^G$  from  $\mathcal{F}^M$  and  $\mathcal{F}^G$  are unified into a single prediction.
  - We use  $\mathbf{p}_i^M$  as a base, while trusting  $\mathbf{p}_i^G$  only for the static categories.



#### **Experimental Results**

• Quantitative Analysis

Methods	mloU	cloU	car	bicycle	motorcycle	truck	other-vehicle	person	bicyclist	motorcyclist	road	parking	sidewalk	other-ground	building	fence	vegetation	trunk	terrain	pole	traffic-sign
SSA-SC [6]	23.5	58.8	36.5	13.9	4.6	5.7	7.4	4.4	2.6	0.7	72.2	37.4	43.7	10.9	43.6	30.7	43.5	25.6	41.8	14.5	6.9
JS3C-Net [5]	23.8	56.6	33.3	14.4	8.8	7.2	12.7	8.0	5.1	0.4	64.7	34.9	39.9	14.1	39.4	30.4	43.1	19.6	40.5	18.9	15.9
S3CNet [16]	29.5	45.6	31.2	41.5	45.0	6.7	16.1	45.9	35.8	16.0	42.0	17.0	22.5	7.9	52.2	31.3	39.5	34.0	21.2	31.0	24.3
SCPNet [3]	36.7	56.1	46.4	33.2	34.9	13.8	29.1	28.2	24.7	1.8	68.5	51.3	49.8	30.7	38.8	44.7	46.4	40.1	48.7	40.4	25.1
TALoS	37.9	60.2	46.4	34.4	36.9	14.0	30.0	30.5	27.3	2.2	73.0	51.3	53.6	28.4	40.8	45.1	50.6	38.8	51.0	<b>40.7</b>	24.4

	Loss fur	nctions	Dual optimiz	ation scheme	Metrics			
	COMP	SEM	MOMENT	GRADUAL	mIoU	cIoU		
Baseline					37.56	50.24		
A	$\checkmark$		$\checkmark$		37.97	52.81		
В		$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$		38.35	52.47		
С	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$		38.38	52.95		
D	✓	$\checkmark$		$\checkmark$	38.81	55.94		
E (Ours)	✓	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	39.29	56.09		

COMP & SEM: use of loss function, MOMENT & GRADUAL: use of  $\mathcal{F}^M$  and  $\mathcal{F}^G$ 

![](_page_10_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_10_Picture_7.jpeg)

PROCESSING SYSTEMS

#### **Experimental Results**

**Qualitative Analysis** •

![](_page_11_Figure_3.jpeg)