

# DC-Gaussian: Improving 3D Gaussian Splatting for Reflective Dash Cam Videos

#### Linhan Wang, Kai Cheng, Shuo Lei, Shengkun Wang, We Yin, Chenyang Lei, Xiaoxiao Long<sup>†</sup>, Chang-Tien Lu

Virginia Tech, The University of Hong Kong, University of Science and Technology of China, The University of Adelaide, Chinese Academy of Science

Presented by: Linhan Wang

### 3DGS on dash cam videos is untapped.

The advance of neural rendering techniques openes up new possibilities in autonomous driving.

Existing methods are primarily designed for videos collected by autonomous cars.

Dash cam videos deeply reflect the diversity and complexity of real-world traffic scenarios.

## Applying 3DGS on dash cam videos is challenging.

- Existing obstruction removal methods fall short on the complex obstructions on windshield.
- Naively training 3DGS on dash cam videos faces strong ambiguity between obstructions and driving scenes.
- Varying illumination in real world makes this task even more challenging.



# Our Task: Novel View Synthesis and Obstruction Removal



Novel view synthesis: synthesizing images at novel view perspectives. Obstruction removal: removing obstructions by decomposing the images.

### **Observations**



Reference

Transmission

Obstruction

- Observation 1 Reflections are from objects inside the car. Reflections and occlusions are both relatively stationary with the car.
- Observation 2 The strength of reflections are conditioned on the incident light, which is changing as cars move along the road.

# Pipeline

- Global-shared Hash Encoding utilizes the static motion prior of obstructions.
- Latent Intensity Modulation grasps the intensity changes of reflections.
- MVS priors is leveraged to enhance the geometry of 3D Gaussians.



### **Qualitative Results**

Our method surpasses 3DGS in both rendering quality and geometry.

Our method achieves significantly better results in obstruction removal.





### **Quantitative Results**

Table 1: Evaluation of novel view synthesis on BDD100K and DCVR. We indicate the best and second best with bold and underlined respectively. Our method consistently outperforms state-of-the-art methods in both datasets and all the evaluation metrics.

	BDD100K			DCVR		
Method	<b>PSNR</b> ↑	SSIM $\uparrow$	LPIPS $\downarrow$	PSNR $\uparrow$	SSIM $\uparrow$	LPIPS $\downarrow$
ZipNeRF [4]	27.89	0.875	0.176	24.41	<u>0.786</u>	0.228
GaussianPro [9]	27.75	0.894	0.192	23.71	0.770	0.270
3DGS [19]	<u>28.02</u>	<u>0.897</u>	<u>0.188</u>	23.73	0.783	0.248
DCGaussian (Ours)	29.44	0.914	0.143	24.74	0.822	0.202

Table 2: Ablations studies on DCVR. Metrics are calculated on obstruction influenced areas.

NOM	AD	LIM	G3E	$ $ PSNR $\uparrow$	$\mathbf{SSIM} \uparrow$	LPIPS $\downarrow$
×	×	×	×	23.99	0.738	0.287
<ul> <li>Image: A second s</li></ul>	×	×	×	25.21	0.776	0.252
<ul> <li>Image: A second s</li></ul>	<ul> <li>Image: A second s</li></ul>	×	×	25.65	0.791	0.236
<ul> <li>Image: A second s</li></ul>	<ul> <li>Image: A second s</li></ul>	<ul> <li>Image: A second s</li></ul>	×	25.90	0.798	0.229
<ul> <li>Image: A second s</li></ul>	<b>√</b>	✓	<ul> <li>Image: A second s</li></ul>	26.30	0.814	0.210