# **Unleashing Multispectral Video's Potential in Semantic Segmentation: A Semi-supervised Viewpoint and New UAV-View Benchmark**

## Wei Ji<sup>1,2\*</sup>, Jingjing Li<sup>1\*</sup>, Wenbo Li<sup>3</sup>, Yilin Shen<sup>3</sup>, Li Cheng<sup>1</sup>, Hongxia Jin<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>University of Alberta <sup>2</sup>Yale University <sup>3</sup>Samsung AI Center-Mountain View

### Background Multispectral Video Semantic Segmentation (MVSS)

Multispectral Video Semantic Segmentation (MVSS) focuses on RGBT video inputs for semantic segmentation tasks. With the rapid advancements in RGB and thermal imaging-known as multispectral imaging-MVSS has gained significant attention. Notably, it offers promising opportunities to enhance segmentation performance under challenging visual conditions, such as low light or overexposure. The new task opens possibilities for applications that require a holistic view of video segmentation under challenging conditions, e.g., autonomous safe driving, nighttime patrol, and fire rescue.



Daytime



Nighttime and overexposure



### New MVUAV Dataset

### Multispectral Video Semantic Segmentation in UAV Videos

We introduce MVUAV, a new MVSS dataset containing a wide range of RGB-T videos captured by Unmanned Aerial Vehicles (UAVs) from an oblique bird's-eye viewpoint. This viewpoint offers a complementary perspective to the eye-level viewpoint adopted by existing MVSeg dataset.



### (a) Data Acquisition

(c) **MVUAV** Dataset

### Features:

- (a) Viewpoint diversity of the existing MVSeg dataset and the new MVUAV dataset.
- (b) & (c) Representative samples from the MVSeg & MVUAV datasets, where RGB videos, thermal videos, and the corresponding semantic annotations are visualized

999992

**UNIVERSITY OF** 

ע אזר זתמ נים ים נ



Table 1: Statistics of various semantic segmentation datasets in diverse modalities. 'Surv.', '#Cls' and 'Anno.' are the shorthand for surveillance, the number of classes and annotation density, respectively.

Dataset	Year	Color	Infrared	Video	UAV	Capture	<b>#Vids(Frames)</b>	#GTs	Resolution	#Cls	%Anno.
Cityscapes [1]	2016	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	X	1	Х	Car	- (150k)	5,000	2048×1024	30	97.10%
CamVid [82]	2009		X	$\checkmark$	X	Car	5 (40k)	701	960×720	32	96.20%
UAVid [83]	2020		X	1	1	Drone	42 (38k)	420	3840×2160	8	82.69%
SODA [84]	2020	X	1	X	X	Pedestrian	-	2,168	640×480	21	79.73%
SCUT-Seg [85]	2021	X	$\checkmark$	X	X	Car	-	2,010	$720 \times 576$	10	56.50%
MFNet [46]	2017	1	1	X	X	Car	-	1,569	640×480	9	7.86%
PST900 [47]	2020		$\checkmark$	X	X	Robot	-	894	$1280 \times 720$	5	3.02%
SemanticRT [45]	2023		$\checkmark$	X	X	Surv.	-	11,371	$1280 \times 1024$	13	21.27%
FMB [86]	2023		$\checkmark$	X	X	Car	-	1,500	$800 \times 600$	15	98.16%
CART [87]	2024		$\checkmark$	X	✓	Drone	-	2,282	960×600	11	99.98%
MVSeg [21]	2023	1	1	1	X	Car/Surv.	738 (53k)	3,545	480×640	26	98.96%
MVUAV	-	<b>√</b>	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	Drone	413 (54k)	2,183	1920×1080	36	99.18%



## **Proposed Method for Semi-MVSS** SemiMV - Cross-collaborative Consistency Learning



This figure illustrates the overview of proposed method. The C3L loss aims to learn from unlabeled RGB-Thermal pairs. The DMR is responsible for integrating temporal information from the denoised memory bank to update query features. A dual-C3L loss is further applied to regularize updated query features. Finally, a segmentation head predicts the final mask.

### Experiments

<b>RGB</b> Frames	TIR Frames	SupOnly	UniMatch	IFR	MVNet	Ours	GTs

Table 2: Quantitative evaluation on the MVSeg dataset SupOnly stands for the model trained on the labeled data.

(26, 140)(228,1119 (54.282)(111.561)SupOnly (RGB) MT [22] CCT [23] 23.81 CPS [25] UniMatch [24] 24.73 30.47 45.42 Accel [77] (Video) IFR [80] SupOnly (RGBT MVNet [21] 24.70 30.32 39.89 46.08 25.48 34.12 SemiMV (Ours) 43.04 49.73

Table 4: Ablation study of the proposed SemiMV framework

Methods	RGB	mIoU				
RGB	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>		$\checkmark$			35.79
RGB-Thermal	<b>√</b>	✓	✓			36.88
+C3L	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	✓	✓	✓		40.73
+DMR	1	$\checkmark$	✓	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	42.39
+Dual-C3L	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	43.04

Table 3: Quantitative evaluation on the MVUAV dataset

Method	(23,91)	(40,184)	(70,365)	(141,732)
SupOnly (RGB)	10.09	13.47	20.07	26.25
MT [22]	11.33	15.89	23.02	27.83
CCT [23]	11.75	16.11	23.72	28.71
CPS [25]	12.55	16.70	24.01	29.09
UniMatch [24]	13.36	17.21	24.10	29.21
Accel [77] (Video)	11.23	14.69	21.45	27.70
IFR [80]	13.11	17.03	24.91	29.87
SupOnly (RGBT)	11.28	14.88	21.31	27.60
MVNet [21]	13.07	16.86	23.36	29.77
SemiMV (Ours)	15.10	20.04	26.52	32.23



