Meta-Reinforcement Learning with Universal Policy Adaptation: Provable Near-Optimality under All-Task Optimum Comparator

Siyuan Xu & Minghui Zhu

School of Electrical Engineering and Computer Science The Pennsylvania State University

Neural Information Processing Systems December, 2024

1/12

Optimization-Based Meta-RL

Bilevel optimization structure:



 \mathcal{A} *lg*: a policy optimization algorithm on one-time collected data $\mathcal{D}_{\tau}^{\pi_{\phi}}$ (data $\mathcal{D}_{\tau}^{\pi_{\phi}}$ is collected by a single policy, i.e., the meta-policy π_{ϕ})

Example: MAML

MAML employs a policy gradient (PG) step as Alg:

$$egin{aligned} & \phi^*_{meta} = rgmax_{\phi} \mathbb{E}_{ au}[J_{ au}(\mathcal{A}lg(\phi, \mathcal{D}^{\pi_{\phi}}_{ au}))] \ = rgmax_{\phi} \mathbb{E}_{ au}[J_{ au}(\phi +
abla_{\phi} ar{J}_{ au}(\pi_{\phi}, \mathcal{D}^{\pi_{\phi}}_{ au}))] \end{aligned}$$

Example: MAML

MAML employs a policy gradient (PG) step as Alg:

$$egin{aligned} & \phi^*_{\textit{meta}} = & rgmax \ \mathbb{E}_{ au}[J_{ au}(\mathcal{A}\textit{lg}(\phi, \mathcal{D}^{\pi_{\phi}}_{ au}))] \ & = & rgmax \ \mathbb{E}_{ au}[J_{ au}(\phi +
abla_{\phi}ar{J}_{ au}(\pi_{\phi}, \mathcal{D}^{\pi_{\phi}}_{ au}))] \end{aligned}$$

Limitations:

- Data inefficiency: employ a single gradient step on one-time data collection $\mathcal{D}_{\tau}^{\pi_{\phi}}$ for policy adaptation
- Omit the influence of the sample policy on $\mathcal{D}_{\tau}^{\pi_{\phi}}$: treat $\mathcal{D}_{\tau}^{\pi_{\phi}}$ as a fixed dataset and ignore the impact of π_{ϕ} to $\mathcal{D}_{\tau}^{\pi_{\phi}}$ (no gradient $\nabla_{\phi} \mathcal{D}_{\tau}^{\pi_{\phi}}$ computed) when optimizing π_{ϕ}
- Weak theoretical guarantee: weak guarantee on the optimality of the meta-test, i.e., $\mathbb{E}_{\tau}[J_{\tau}(\phi^*_{meta} + \nabla_{\phi^*_{meta}} \bar{J}_{\tau}(\pi_{\phi^*_{meta}}, \mathcal{D}_{\tau}^{\pi_{\phi^*_{meta}}}))]$

Policy adaptation algorithm:

$$\mathcal{A} lg(\pi_{\phi}, \lambda, \tau) = \operatorname{argmax}_{\pi_{\theta}} \mathbb{E}_{s \sim \nu_{\tau}^{\pi_{\phi}}, \mathbf{a} \sim \pi_{\phi}(\cdot|s)} \left[\frac{\pi_{\theta}(\mathbf{a}|s)}{\pi_{\phi}(\mathbf{a}|s)} Q_{\tau}^{\pi_{\phi}}(s, \mathbf{a}) \right] - \lambda D_{\tau}^{2}(\pi_{\phi}, \pi_{\theta})$$

Meta-policy optimization:

$$\phi^*_{\textit{meta}} = \operatorname*{argmax}_{\phi} \mathbb{E}_{\tau \sim \mathbb{P}(\Gamma)}[J_{\tau}(\mathcal{A}\textit{lg}(\pi_{\phi}, \lambda, \tau)]$$

Policy adaptation algorithm:

$$\mathcal{A} lg(\pi_{\phi}, \lambda, \tau) = \operatorname{argmax}_{\pi_{\theta}} \mathbb{E}_{s \sim \nu_{\tau}^{\pi_{\phi}}, s \sim \pi_{\phi}(\cdot|s)} \left[\frac{\pi_{\theta}(a|s)}{\pi_{\phi}(a|s)} Q_{\tau}^{\pi_{\phi}}(s, a) \right] - \lambda D_{\tau}^{2}(\pi_{\phi}, \pi_{\theta})$$

Meta-policy optimization:

$$\phi^*_{\textit{meta}} = \operatorname*{argmax}_{\phi} \mathbb{E}_{\tau \sim \mathbb{P}(\mathsf{\Gamma})}[J_{\tau}(\mathcal{A}\textit{lg}(\pi_{\phi}, \lambda, \tau)]$$

Advantages:

- Data exploitation: employ multiple gradient steps to solve the optimization problem *Alg* on one-time data collection
- Include $\mathcal{D}_{\tau}^{\pi_{\phi}}$ in $\mathcal{Q}_{\tau}^{\pi_{\phi}}$: the impact of π_{ϕ} to $\mathcal{D}_{\tau}^{\pi_{\phi}}$ is considered when approximating $\nabla_{\phi} \mathcal{Q}_{\tau}^{\pi_{\phi}}(s, a)$ using $\mathcal{D}_{\tau}^{\pi_{\phi}}$

Policy adaptation algorithm is universal:

$$\mathcal{A} lg(\pi_{\phi}, \lambda, \tau) = \operatorname{argmax}_{\pi_{\theta}} \mathbb{E}_{s \sim \nu_{\tau}^{\pi_{\phi}}, \boldsymbol{a} \sim \pi_{\phi}(\cdot | \boldsymbol{s})} \left[\frac{\pi_{\theta}(\boldsymbol{a} | \boldsymbol{s})}{\pi_{\phi}(\boldsymbol{a} | \boldsymbol{s})} Q_{\tau}^{\pi_{\phi}}(\boldsymbol{s}, \boldsymbol{a}) \right] - \lambda D_{\tau}^{2}(\pi_{\phi}, \pi_{\theta})$$

 \mathcal{A} lg can reduce to many widely used policy optimization algorithm

- Reduce to proximal policy optimization (PPO), when the distance metric is selected as D²_τ (π_φ, π_θ) = E_{s∼ν^π_σ}[D_{KL}(π_φ(·|s)||π_θ(·|s))].
- Reduce to natural policy gradient (NPG), when choosing the above distance metric D_{τ} and use the first-order approximation of the expectation term.
- Reduce to policy gradient (PG), when $D_{\tau}^2(\pi_{\phi}, \pi_{\theta}) = \|\phi \theta\|_2^2$ and use the first-order approximation of the expectation term.

Optimize meta-policy: a bilevel optimization problem

$$\phi^*_{meta} = \operatorname*{argmax}_{\phi} \mathbb{E}_{\tau \sim \mathbb{P}(\Gamma)}[J_{\tau}(\pi_{\theta_{\tau}'})]|_{\pi_{\theta_{\tau}'} = \mathcal{A}lg(\pi_{\phi}, \lambda, \tau)}$$

Compute meta-gradient and then use gradient accent to update ϕ :

$$\nabla_{\phi} J_{\tau}(\pi_{\theta_{\tau}'}) = \mathbb{E}_{s \sim \nu_{\tau}^{\pi_{\theta_{\tau}'}}, s \sim \pi_{\theta_{\tau}'}(\cdot|s)} \left[\frac{\nabla_{\phi} \pi_{\theta_{\tau}'}(a|s)}{\pi_{\theta_{\tau}'}(a|s)} Q_{\tau}^{\pi_{\theta_{\tau}'}}(s, a) \right]$$
(by policy gradient theorem)

$$\nabla_{\phi}\theta_{\tau}' = -\mathbb{E}_{s\sim\nu_{\tau}^{\pi_{\phi}},a\sim\pi_{\phi}(\cdot|s)} [\nabla_{\theta}^{2}d^{2}(\pi_{\phi}(\cdot|s),\pi_{\theta}(\cdot|s)) - \frac{\nabla_{\theta}^{2}\pi_{\theta}(a|s)}{\lambda\pi_{\phi}(a|s)}Q_{\tau}^{\pi_{\phi}}(s,a)]^{-1}$$
$$\mathbb{E}_{s\sim\nu_{\tau}^{\pi_{\phi}},a\sim\pi_{\phi}(\cdot|s)} [\nabla_{\phi}^{\top}\nabla_{\theta}d^{2}(\pi_{\phi}(\cdot|s),\pi_{\theta}(\cdot|s)) - \frac{\nabla_{\theta}\pi_{\theta}(a|s)}{\lambda\pi_{\phi}(a|s)}\nabla_{\phi}^{\top}Q_{\tau}^{\pi_{\phi}}(s,a)]|_{\theta=\theta_{\tau}'}$$

(by implicit differentiation theorem)

6/12

Optimality metrics in theoretical analysis

Weak metric Convergence of meta-objective:

$$\nabla_{\phi} \mathbb{E}_{\tau \sim \mathbb{P}(\Gamma)}[J_{\tau}(\mathcal{A}lg(\pi_{\phi_t}, \lambda, \tau))] \to \epsilon_t$$

(Fallah, et al., 2020; Tang, et al., 2023)

Optimality of meta-objective:

 $\max_{\phi} \mathbb{E}_{\tau \sim \mathbb{P}(\Gamma)}[J_{\tau}(\mathcal{A} lg(\pi_{\phi}, \lambda, \tau))] - \mathbb{E}_{\tau \sim \mathbb{P}(\Gamma)}[J_{\tau}(\mathcal{A} lg(\pi_{\phi_{t}}, \lambda, \tau))] \to \epsilon_{t}$

(Wang, et al., 2020)

Optimality under all-task optimum comparator:

$$\mathbb{E}_{\tau \sim \mathbb{P}(\Gamma)}[J_{\tau}(\pi_{\theta_{\tau}^*})] - \mathbb{E}_{\tau \sim \mathbb{P}(\Gamma)}[J_{\tau}(\mathcal{A} \textit{lg}(\pi_{\phi_t}, \lambda, \tau))] \rightarrow \epsilon_t$$

where θ_{τ}^* is the optimal task-specific parameter for task τ .

Strong metric The variance of task distribution $\mathbb{P}(\Gamma)$ is defined as

$$\mathcal{V}ar(\mathbb{P}(\Gamma)) \triangleq \min_{\theta} \mathbb{E}_{\tau \sim \mathbb{P}(\Gamma)}[D^2_{\tau}(\pi_{\theta}, \pi_{\theta^*_{\tau}})],$$

where $\pi_{\theta_{\tau}^*}$ is the optimal task-specific policy for task τ .

- The task variance is defined by the variance of the optimal task-specific policies $\pi_{\theta_{\pi}^*}$ under distance metric D_{τ} .
- Expect the optimality of meta-RL is higher as the task variance *Var*(P(Γ)) is smaller.

Theoretical guarantee

Near-optimality under all-task optimum comparator

Consider the softmax policies $\hat{\pi}_{\theta}$ being parameterized by θ with function approximation, i.e., $\hat{\pi}_{\theta}(a|s) \triangleq \frac{\exp(f_{\theta}(s,a))}{\int_{\mathcal{A}} \exp(f_{\theta}(s,a'))da'}$, $\forall (s,a) \in \mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{A}$. Choose the regularization weight $\lambda = \frac{A_{max}L}{(1-\gamma)^2}$ for policy adaptation algorithm $\mathcal{A}lg$. Let $\{\phi_t\}_{t=1}^T$ be the sequence of meta-parameters generated by BO-MRL. The following inequality holds:

$$\begin{split} &\frac{1}{T}\sum_{t=1}^{T}\mathbb{E}_t\left[\mathbb{E}_{\tau\sim\mathbb{P}(\Gamma)}[J_{\tau}(\hat{\pi}_{\theta_{\tau}^*})-J_{\tau}(\mathcal{A}lg(\hat{\pi}_{\phi_t},\lambda,\tau))]\right]\\ &\leq \frac{K}{T}+\frac{M}{\sqrt{T}}+\frac{A_{max}L}{(1-\gamma)^2}\mathcal{V}ar(\mathbb{P}(\Gamma)), \end{split}$$

where $\hat{\pi}_{\theta_{\tau}^*}$ is the optimal softmax policy for task τ , and K, M, L and A_{max} are constants.

Experiment to verify theoretical result

A simple environment to verify the theoretical result



Figure: Top: Average accumulated reward across all test tasks; Bottom: Optimality gap by the BO-MRL and baselines.

Experiments on high-dimensional locomotion tasks

• BOMRL with three selected distance metrics D_{τ} outperforms the baselines on high-dimensional locomotion tasks



Figure: Average accumulated reward across all test tasks during the meta-test.

Conclusion

- Develop the bilevel framework for meta-RL with universal policy adaptation.
- Theoretically guarantee the near-optimality and verify it by experiments.
- Experimentally validate the effectiveness of the algorithm in high-dimensional RL tasks.

