



EnsIR: An Ensemble Algorithm for Image Restoration via Gaussian Mixture Models

Shangquan Sun^{1,2}, Wenqi Ren³, Zikun Liu⁴, Hyunhee Park⁴, Rui Wang^{1,2}, Xiaochun Cao³

¹CAS, China ²UCAS, China ³Shenzhen Campus of SYSU, China ⁴Samsung

NeurIPS 2024

2024.11.2

Motivation





Problem Formulation

• Ensemble for Image Restoration

$$\tilde{\mathbf{Y}}_{n} = \boldsymbol{\beta}_{n}^{\top} \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{\mathbf{X}}_{1,n} & \cdots & \tilde{\mathbf{X}}_{M,n} \end{bmatrix}, \ \forall n$$
(1)

• For a reference set, formulate all data with Gaussian prior

$$\mathbf{y}_{1:N}|f_m, \mathbf{x}_{1:N} \sim \mathcal{N}\left(\mathbf{x}_{m,1:N}, \operatorname{diag}(\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{m,1}, ..., \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{m,N})\right),$$
(2)

• Partition data into bins:

$$\mathbf{y}_{r,1:N} = \mathbf{R}_r \cdot \mathbf{y}_{1:N}, \qquad \mathbf{x}_{r,m,1:N} = \mathbf{R}_r \cdot \mathbf{x}_{m,1:N}, \qquad (3)$$

• Within each bin, Gaussian prior still holds

$$\mathbf{y}_{r,1:N}^{(i)}|f_m, \mathbf{x}_{r,m,1:N} \stackrel{i.i.d}{\sim} \mathcal{N}(\mu_{r,m,1:N}, \sigma_{r,m,1:N}), \ \forall i \in [1, ..., N_r],$$
(4)

• Then ensemble problem is split for estimating weights within. bins

$$\mathbf{y}_{r,1:N}^{(i)} = \mathbb{E}_{z} \left[\mathbf{x}_{r,m,1:N}^{(i)} \right] = \sum_{m=1}^{M} \alpha_{r,m} \cdot \mathbf{x}_{r,m,1:N}^{(i)}; \ P(\mathbf{y}_{r,1:N}^{(i)}) = \sum_{m=1}^{M} \alpha_{r,m} P\left(\left| \mathbf{y}_{r,1:N}^{(i)} \right| z = m \right), \ (5)$$
$$\{\alpha_{r,m}\}_{r,m} \in \arg\max P(\mathbf{y}_{1:N}).$$

Gaussian Mixture Models and EM Algorithm

• Gaussian Mixture Models:

$$\alpha_{r,m} \in \operatorname*{arg\,max}_{\alpha_{r,m}} P(\mathbf{y}_{r,1:N}) = \operatorname*{arg\,max}_{\alpha_{r,m}} \prod_{i=1}^{N_r} P(\mathbf{y}_{r,1:N}^{(i)}). \tag{7}$$

• Estimate ensemble weights by maximizing the log likelihood as

$$\log P(\mathbf{y}_{r,1:N}) = \log \prod_{i=1}^{N_r} \sum_{m=1}^{M} \alpha_{r,m} \phi\left(\mathbf{y}_{r,1:N}^{(i)}; \mu_{r,m,1:N}, \sigma_{r,m,1:N}\right)$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{N_r} \log \sum_{m=1}^{M} \alpha_{r,m} \phi\left(\mathbf{y}_{r,1:N}^{(i)}; \mu_{r,m,1:N}, \sigma_{r,m,1:N}\right)$$

$$\geq \sum_{m=1}^{M} P\left(z = m \left| \mathbf{y}_{r,1:N}^{(i)} \right) \log \frac{\alpha_{r,m} \phi(\mathbf{y}_{r,1:N}^{(i)}; \mu_{r,m,1:N}, \sigma_{r,m,1:N})}{P\left(z = m \left| \mathbf{y}_{r,1:N}^{(i)} \right)},$$
(8)

Gaussian Mixture Models and EM Algorithm

• Expectation step:

$$\gamma_{r,m,1:N} \leftarrow P\left(z = m \middle| \mathbf{y}_{r,1:N}^{(i)}\right) = \frac{\alpha_{r,m} \phi\left(\mathbf{y}_{r,1:N}^{(i)}; \mu_{r,m,1:N}, \sigma_{r,m,1:N}\right)}{\sum_{m=1}^{M} \alpha_{r,m} \phi\left(\mathbf{y}_{r,1:N}^{(i)}; \mu_{r,m,1:N}, \sigma_{r,m,1:N}\right)}.$$
(9)

• Maximization step:

$$\alpha_{r,m} \leftarrow \frac{1}{N_r} \sum_{i=1}^{N_r} \gamma_{r,m,1:N}$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N_r} \gamma_{r,m,1:N} \left(\mathbf{y}_{r,m,1:N}^{(i)} - \mu_{r,m,1:N} \right)^2$$
(10)

$$\sigma_{r,m,1:N} \leftarrow \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N_r} \gamma_{r,m,1:N}}{\sum_{n=1}^{N_r} \gamma_{r,m,1:N}}.$$
(11)

• Priors of Mean and Variance:

$$\mu_{r,m,1:N} \leftarrow \frac{1}{N_r} \sum_{i=1}^{N_r} \mathbf{x}_{r,m,1:N}^{(i)}$$
(12)

$$\sigma_{r,m,1:N} \leftarrow \frac{1}{N_r} \left\| \mathbf{x}_{r,m,1:N} - \mu_{r,m,1:N} \right\|_2.$$
(13)

Look-up Table for inference and Overall Algorithm

• LUT to save the weights for inference

 $ilde{\mathbf{X}}_{r,m,n} = \mathbf{R}_r \cdot ilde{\mathbf{X}}_{m,n}, ext{ where } \mathbf{R}_r = \prod_{m=1}^m \mathbf{I}_{B_m}(ilde{\mathbf{X}}_{m,n}).$

$$ilde{\mathbf{Y}}_n = \sum_{r=1}^{T^M} ilde{\mathbf{Y}}_{r,n} = \sum_{r=1}^{T^M} \sum_{m=1}^M lpha_{r,m} ilde{\mathbf{X}}_{r,m,n}.$$

(15)

Algorithm 2: MPEM: EM algorithm with known Mean Prior **Input:** $y_{r,1:N}, x_{r,1,1:N}, ..., x_{r,M,1:N}$ Output: $(\alpha_{r,1}, ..., \alpha_{r,M})$ 1 $N_r \leftarrow$ number of nonzero pixels in $\mathbf{y}_{r,1:N}$; 2 Initialize $\mu_{r.m.1:N}$ by Eq. 12; 3 Initialize $\sigma_{r.m.1:N}$ by Eq. 13; 4 while not converge do for $i \in [1, N_r]$ do 5 for $m \in [1, M]$ do 6 Update $\gamma_{r.m.1:N}$ by Eq. 9; 7 end 8 9 end for $m \in [1, M]$ do 10 Update $\alpha_{r,m}$ by Eq. 10; 11 Update $\sigma_{r,m,1:N}$ by Eq. 11; 12 13 end 14 end

(14)

Algorithm 1: EnsIR: an ensemble algorithm for image restoration **Input:** A small reference dataset $\{\mathbf{x}_n, \mathbf{y}_n\}_{n=1}^N$ for ensemble weight estimation, test set $\{\hat{\mathbf{X}}_n\}, M$ pre-trained models f_1, \dots, f_M , bin width b, Empty lookup table LUT **Output:** Ensemble result $\{\tilde{\mathbf{Y}}_n\}$ **Estimation Stage:** 1 Obtain restoration predictions by $\mathbf{x}_{m,n} = \text{flatten}(f_m(\mathbf{X}_n)), \forall m \in \{1, ..., M\};$ 2 Append restoration predictions and ground-truths into $y_{1:N}$ and $x_{m,1:N}$ based on Eq. 2; **3** Define bin set space $\mathbb{B} = \{[0, b), [b, 2b), ..., [(T-1)b, 255]\};$ 4 for each bin set $(B_1, ..., B_M) \in \mathbb{B}^M$ do Compute the partition map $\mathbf{R}_r = \prod_{m=1}^M \mathbf{I}_{B_m}(f_m(\mathbf{x}_n))$; Partition images and obtain range-wise patches $(\mathbf{y}_{r,1:N}, \mathbf{x}_{r,1,1:N}, ..., \mathbf{x}_{r,M,1:N})$ by Eq. 3; 5 6 $(\alpha_{r,1},...,\alpha_{r,M}) \leftarrow \mathbf{MPEM}(\mathbf{y}_{r,1:N},\mathbf{x}_{r,1,1:N},...,\mathbf{x}_{r,M,1:N});$ Store LUT $[(B_1,...,B_M)] \leftarrow (\alpha_{r,1},...,\alpha_{r,M});$ 7 8 9 end Inference Stage: 10 for each test data $\hat{\mathbf{X}}_n$ do for each bin set $(B_1, ..., B_M) \in \mathbb{B}^M$ do 11 Retrieve $(\alpha_{r,1}, ..., \alpha_{r,M}) \leftarrow LUT[(B_1, ..., B_M)];$ 12 , Partition input as $\tilde{\mathbf{X}}_{r,m,n} \leftarrow \mathbf{R}_r \cdot f_m(\hat{\mathbf{X}}_n)$, where $\mathbf{R}_r = \prod_{m=1}^M \mathbf{I}_{B_m}(f_m(\hat{\mathbf{X}}_n))$; 13 $ilde{\mathbf{Y}}_{r,n} \leftarrow \sum_{m=1}^{M} lpha_{r,m} ilde{\mathbf{X}}_{r,m,n}$; /* Inner summation of Eq. 15 */ 14 end 15 $\mathbf{\tilde{Y}}_n \leftarrow \sum_{r=1}^{T^M} \mathbf{\tilde{Y}}_r$ /* Outer summation of Eq. 15 */ 17 end

A Simple Case with bin width=64



Result 2: Ablation Studies

Table 1: Ablation study of bin width b on Rain100H [74] with maximum step number 1000. "Runtime" is the average runtime [s].

Table 2: Ablation study of maximum step number in the EM algorithm on Rain100H [74] with b = 32. "Time" is the time of EM algorithm [s].

b	16	32	64	96	128	#step	10	100	500	1000	10000
Runtime	1.2460	0.1709	0.0265	0.0132	0.0059	Time	12.108	28.516	30.409	30.518	30.524
PSNR	31.745	31.739	31.720	31.713	31.725	PSNR	31.734	31.738	31.738	31.739	31.739
SSIM	0.9093	0.9095	0.9094	0.9093	0.9093	SSIM	0.9093	0.9094	0.9095	0.9095	0.9095

Table 6: The average runtime per image in seconds of the ensemble methods on Rain100H [74].

Method	Bagging [6]	AdaBoost [22]	RForest [7]	GBDT [23]	HGBT [34]	Average	ZZPM [92]	Ours
Runtime	1.0070	1.1827	9.8598	1.2781	0.1773	0.0003	0.0021	0.1709

Quantitative Comparison: Super-Resolution

	Datasets	Set5 [5]		Set14 [83]		BSDS100 [47]		Urban100 [30]		Mangal	109 [<mark>48</mark>]
	Metrics	PSNR	SSIM	PSNR	SSIM	PSNR	SSIM	PSNR	SSIM	PSNR	SSIM
Base	SwinIR [39] SRFormer [93] MambaIR [27]	32.916 32.922 33.045	0.9044 0.9043 0.9051	29.087 29.090 29.159	0.7950 0.7942 0.7958	27.919 27.914 27.967	0.7487 0.7489 0.7510	27.453 27.535 27.775	0.8254 0.8261 0.8321	32.024 32.203 32.308	0.9260 0.9271 0.9283
Regr.	Bagging [6] AdaBoost [22] RForest [7] GBDT [23] HGBT [34]	33.006 33.072 33.032 33.085 33.078	0.9050 0.9049 0.9052 0.9050 0.9051	29.119 29.175 29.158 29.196 29.201	0.7950 0.7959 0.7954 0.7956 0.7959	27.946 27.975 27.964 27.980 27.984	$\begin{array}{c} 0.7498 \\ 0.7503 \\ 0.7500 \\ 0.7500 \\ 0.7502 \end{array}$	27.546 27.786 27.640 <u>27.792</u> 27.783	0.8273 0.8302 0.8287 0.8311 0.8310	32.154 32.457 32.287 <u>32.467</u> <u>32.444</u>	0.9270 0.9286 0.9279 0.9285 0.9282
IR.	Average RefESR [31] ZZPM [92] EnsIR (Ours)	33.097 33.091 33.094 33.103	0.9057 0.9052 0.9057 0.9058	29.202 29.172 29.203 29.205	0.7964 0.7960 0.7963 0.7964	27.983 27.972 27.981 27.984	0.7506 0.7504 0.7506 0.7507	27.785 27.785 27.786 27.795	0.8313 0.8312 0.8313 0.8315	32.466 32.447 32.467 32.468	0.9290 0.9288 0.9290 0.9291
		19			V			V			



Quantitative Comparison: Deblurring

	Datasets		GoPro [51]		HIDE [60]		r-R [58]	RealBlur-J [58]	
	Metrics		SSIM	PSNR	SSIM	PSNR	SSIM	PSNR	SSIM
Base	MPRNet [82]	32.658	0.9362	30.962	0.9188	33.914	0.9425	26.515	0.8240
	Restormer [81]	32.918	0.9398	31.221	0.9226	33.984	0.9463	26.626	0.8274
	DGUNet [50]	33.173	0.9423	31.404	0.9257	33.990	0.9430	26.583	0.8261
Regr.	Bagging [6]	33.194	0.9418	31.437	0.9250	34.033	0.9456	26.641	0.8277
	AdaBoost [22]	33.205	0.9412	31.449	0.9251	34.035	0.9455	26.652	0.8280
	RForest [7]	33.173	0.9416	31.439	0.9247	34.039	0.9457	26.647	0.8280
	GBDT [23]	33.311	0.9418	31.568	0.9256	34.052	0.9465	26.684	0.8285
	HGBT [34]	33.323	0.9427	<u>31.583</u>	0.9267	33.986	0.9436	26.684	0.8296
IR.	Average	33.330	0.9436	31.579	0.9277	34.090	0.9471	26.689	0.8309
	ZZPM [92]	33.332	0.9436	31.580	0.9277	34.057	0.9468	26.688	0.8308
	EnsIR (Ours)	33.345	0.9438	31.590	0.9278	34.089	0.9472	26.690	0.8309



(b) GT & LQ(c) Restormer (d) HGBT [34](e) Average(f) ZZPM [92](g) Ours(a) ImagePSNR/SSIM29.980/0.937732.110/0.948132.177/0.947932.170/0.947532.584/0.9486

Quantitative Comparison: Deraining

	Datasets	Rain100H [74]		Rain100L [74]		Test100 [88]		Test1200 [86]		Test2800 [25]	
	Metrics	PSNR	SSIM	PSNR	SSIM	PSNR	SSIM	PSNR	SSIM	PSNR	SSIM
Base	MPRNet [82] MAXIM [67] Restormer [81]	30.428 30.838 31.477	0.8905 0.9043 0.9054	36.463 38.152 39.080	0.9657 0.9782 0.9785	30.292 31.194 32.025	0.8983 0.9239 0.9237	32.944 32.401 33.219	0.9175 0.9240 0.9270	33.667 33.837 34.211	0.9389 0.9438 0.9449
Regr.	Bagging [6] AdaBoost [22] RForest [7] GBDT [23] HGBT [34]	31.461 31.472 31.492 31.581 <u>31.698</u>	0.9001 0.9006 0.9012 0.9058 0.9075	39.060 39.067 39.089 39.044 39.115	$\begin{array}{c} 0.9782 \\ 0.9782 \\ 0.9784 \\ 0.9778 \\ 0.9784 \end{array}$	31.865 31.866 31.900 <u>32.001</u> 31.988	$\begin{array}{c} 0.9107 \\ 0.9112 \\ 0.9127 \\ 0.9236 \\ 0.9241 \end{array}$	33.115 33.117 33.147 33.276 33.305	0.9152 0.9153 0.9169 0.9274 0.9282	34.216 34.221 34.224 34.211 34.229	$\begin{array}{c} 0.9446 \\ 0.9443 \\ 0.9447 \\ 0.9446 \\ 0.9450 \end{array}$
IR.	Average ZZPM [92] EnsIR (Ours)	31.681 31.689 31.739	0.9091 0.9091 0.9095	38.675 38.725 39.216	0.9770 0.9771 0.9792	31.626 31.642 32.064	0.9225 0.9227 0.9258	33.427 33.434 33.445	0.9286 0.9286 0.9289	34.214 34.231 34.245	0.9449 0.9450 0.9451



Visualization

• Weight Map



Figure 4: A sample of weight visualizations on HIDE [59]. Base models are DGUNet [49], MPR-Net [79], and Restormer [78]. The first column shows the base model predictions and ground-truth. The second column shows the ensemble weights and result of the averaging strategy. The third column shows the ensemble weights and result of ZZPM [89]. The last column shows the ensemble weights and result of our method.



Figure 5: A sampled group of feature visualizations on HIDE [59]. "Base" denotes the features of three base models, i.e., DGUNet [49], MPRNet [79], and Restormer [78].

Visualization

• Pixel Distribution within bin set





Figure 6: A group of distribution visualizations on HIDE [59]. The bin sets of the first row is $(B_1 = [0, 32), B_2 = [0, 32), B_3 = [32, 64))$. The bin sets of the second row is $(B_1 = [64, 96), B_2 = [32, 64), B_3 = [96, 128))$. The bin sets of the third row is $(B_1 = [64, 96), B_2 = [128, 160), B_3 = [128, 160))$. The bin sets of the last row is $(B_1 = [64, 96), B_2 = [64, 96), B_3 = [64, 96))$. Base models are DGUNet [49], MPRNet [79], and Restormer [78].

Thank You