



- Benefiting from the powerful language expression and planning capabilities of Large Language Models, LLM-based autonomous agents have achieved promising performance in various downstream tasks
- Recently, based on the development of single-agent systems, researchers propose to construct multi-agent systems in response to the growing task complexity





In this paper, we consider leveraging the self-reflection mechanism to improve multi-agent collaboration, and propose an elegant framework named COPPER.

- Agent Decision Process
  - $a_{k,t} = \operatorname{Actor}^i(p^i, sm^i_{k,t}, s_{k,t}).$

$$a_{k,\lambda,t} = \operatorname{Actor}^{i}(p^{i}, lm_{k,\lambda}^{i}, sm_{k,\lambda,t}^{i}, s_{k,\lambda,t})$$

- Agent Reflection Process
  - $y_{k,\lambda}^i = \operatorname{Reflector}^i(p^i, [sm_{k,\lambda,T}^i]_{i=1}^N, r_{k,\lambda}),$
- Update of Short-Term Memory  $sm_{k,t}^{i} = \text{Context}^{i}(p^{i}, sm_{k,t-1}^{i}, \{s_{i}, a_{i}\}_{i=\max(0,t-N+1)}^{t}),$



Gaoling School of Artificial Intell

- Towards more efficient reflection, we propose to train a shared reflector using the counterfactual Proximal Policy Optimization (PPO) mechanism.
  - The counterfactual reward can be evaluated according to the impact of each agent reflection on enhancing the overall task performance.
  - To enhance the training efficiency and stability, we gather reflection data across agents and propose to train a shared reflector.





Construction of Counterfactual Reward

$$egin{aligned} G^i_{k,\lambda} &= r_{k,\lambda+1} - r_{k,\lambda} \ \hat{G}^i_{k,\lambda} &= \hat{r}_{k,\lambda+1} - r_{k,\lambda} \ \tilde{G}^i_{k,\lambda} &= G^i_{k,\lambda} - \hat{G}^i_{k,\lambda}. \end{aligned}$$

Training Data Collection

$$egin{aligned} D_{CF} &= \{(x^i_{k,\lambda},y^i_{k,\lambda}, ilde{G}^i_{k,\lambda}) \ & |1 \leq i \leq N, 1 \leq \lambda \leq \Lambda, 1 \leq k \leq K\} \end{aligned}$$

### Counterfactual Reward Construction Trial i Add to Trial





- We follow the Reinforcement Learning from Human Feedback (RLHF) method and adopt a similar three-step approach to fine-tune the shared reflector with counterfactual rewards.
  - Supervised Fine-Tuning

$$\mathcal{L}_{SFT}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = -\mathbb{E}_{(x,y)\sim D_{CF}} \left[\sum_{k=1}^{m} \log \pi_{\theta}(y_k|x, y_{< k})\right],$$

• Training Reward Model

$$\mathcal{L}_{RM}(\boldsymbol{\phi}) = \mathbb{E}_{(x,y,r) \sim D_{CF}}[(R_{CF_{\phi}}(x,y) - r)^2].$$

Proximal Policy Optimization

$$\mathcal{L}_{PPO}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = -\mathbb{E}_{x \sim D_{CF}} \mathbb{E}_{y \sim \pi_{\theta}^{RL}(x)} [R_{CF_{\phi}}(x, y) - \beta \log \frac{\pi_{\theta}^{RL}(y|x)}{\pi^{SFT}(y|x)}].$$



We compare the performance of COPPER against different baselines on HotPotQA, GSM8K, and Checkmate in One Move datasets. Experimental results indicate that our COPPER exhibits superior reflective ability in multi-agent collaboration scenarios.



Figure 3: Performance of COPPER against baselines on three datasets.





# **THANKS!**

