

## Going Beyond Persistent Homology Using Persistent Homology

Johanna Immonen



University of Helsinki



Thirty-seventh Conference on Neural Information Processing Systems (NeurIPS 2023)



Amauri Souza

Aalto University Federal Institute of Ceará

Vikas Garg



Aalto University YaiYai Ltd

## Persistent homology (PH)

simplicial complexes (e.g., graphs).

#### **Basic idea**:

- 1) Obtain a **filtration** (i.e., sequence of sub-complexes) by applying a filtering function on simplices (elements of the original complex);
- Keep track of the appearance (birth) and disappearance (death) of topological features, obtaining the so-called **persistence diagrams**.

Among other applications, PH has been successfully employed as a feature extractor in many disciplines, such as Astrophysics, Computer Vision, and Bioinformatics.

#### An approach to extract detailed **topological features** (e.g., persistence of connected components or cycles) of

#### A simplicial complex.





Attributed graph



Colors/features Vertex-color Filtrations: Nested sequence of subgraphs  $\emptyset = G^{(0)} \subseteq G^{(1)} \subseteq ... \subseteq G$  induced by  $f: X \to (0,\infty)$ 

































**Edge-color Filtrations** 

Edge-colored graph



Δ	

#### **Edge-color Filtrations**







#### Filtration induced by: $\rightarrow 1 \rightarrow 2$

Δ	

#### **Edge-color Filtrations**





#### Filtration induced by: $\rightarrow 1 \rightarrow 2$

Δ	



Δ	

#### **Edge-color Filtrations**





### Motivation

## theoretical underpinnings of PH on graphs are less explored.

In this work, we want to answer two fundamental **open questions**: Q1: What is the expressive power of persistent homology (from color-based filtrations) on graphs? Q2: Can we design more expressive persistence diagrams?

#### **Persistent homology** has been used to **boost the predictive capabilities of** graph neural networks (GNNs).

However, while the expressivity of GNNs is well-understood (e.g., in terms of the Weisfeiler-Leman test), the



What is the expressive power of persistent homology on graphs?



### An important notion: color-separating sets

Component-wise colors: The multiset comprising the set of colors of each connected component.



#### Component-wise colors: $\{\{\bigcirc,\bigcirc\},\{\bigcirc\},\{\bigcirc\}\}\}$



### An important notion: color-separating sets

**Component-wise colors:** The multiset comprising the set of colors of each connected component.

A color-separating set for a pair of graphs (G, G') is a set of colors Q such that, if we remove Q from G and G', we obtain subgraphs with **distinct component-wise colors**.







Thus,  $\{\bigcirc, \bigcirc\}$  is a color-separating set!



## **Theorem 1**: On the power of vertex-color filtrations

We can obtain different vertex-color (0-dim) diagrams if and only if there is a color-separating set.



Can PH based on vertex-color filtrations distinguish these graphs?

**Yes**!!{(,)) is a color-separating set!





## Another important notion: color-disconnecting sets

A color-disconnecting set for a pair of graphs (G, G') is a set of colors Q such that, if we remove edges of colors Q from G and G', we obtain subgraphs with **different number of connected components**.



Thus,  $Q = \{ blue \}$  is a color-disconnecting set!



## **Theorem 2**: On the power of edge-color filtrations

We can obtain different edge-color (0-dim) diagrams if and only if there is a color-disconnecting set.



Can PH based on edge-color filtrations distinguish these graphs?

Yes!!  $Q = \{ blue \}$  is a color-disconnecting set!

10

## **Theorem 3**: Vertex-color vs. edge-color filtrations

There exist non-isomorphic graphs that verte filtrations cannot, and vice-versa.



Vertex-color succeeds

Edge-color **fails** 

#### There exist non-isomorphic graphs that vertex-color filtrations can distinguish but edge-color



#### Vertex-color **fails**

Edge-color succeeds



# Can we design more expressive persistence diagrams?



12

#### Rephine (Refining PH by Incorporating Node-color into Edge-based filtration)

Idea: Given independent vertex- and edge-color filtration functions  $(f_v, f_e)$ , we augment persistence diagrams from edge-color filtrations with vertex-color information.

Original birth and death time (from edge-color filtration)

**Independent vertex-color filtration function.** 



13

















- (0, ,1,2)
- (0, , 1, 1)
- (0,1,3,1)
- (0, 2, 2, 2)
- (0,2,2,2)









#### Theorem 4: RePHINE vs color-based diagrams

Two graphs that color-based **PH cannot** distinguish, but RePHINE can.

#### **RePHINE** is isomorphism invariant and is strictly more expressive than color-based PH.





### Combining RePHINE and GNNs





16

#### Results on real-world data

We process the persistence diagrams using DeepSets and combine the resulting vectors with GNN embeddings.

Table 1: Predictive performance on graph classification. We denote in bold the best results. For ZINC, lower is better. For most datasets, RePHINE is the best-performing method.

GNN	Diagram	<b>NCI109</b> ↑	<b>PROTEINS ↑</b>	IMDB-B↑	<b>NCI1</b> ↑	<b>MOLHIV</b> $\uparrow$	<b>ZINC</b> $\downarrow$
GCN	- PH RePHINE	$\begin{array}{c} 76.46 \pm 1.03 \\ 77.92 \pm 1.89 \\ \textbf{79.18} \pm 1.97 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{l} 70.18 \pm 1.35 \\ 69.46 \pm 1.83 \\ \textbf{71.25} \pm 1.60 \end{array}$	$64.20 \pm 1.30$ $64.80 \pm 1.30$ $69.40 \pm 3.78$	$\begin{array}{l} 74.45 \pm 1.05 \\ 79.08 \pm 1.06 \\ \textbf{80.44} \pm 0.94 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{l} 74.99 \pm 1.09 \\ 73.64 \pm 1.29 \\ \textbf{75.98} \pm 1.80 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.875 \pm 0.009 \\ 0.513 \pm 0.014 \\ \textbf{0.468} \pm 0.011 \end{array}$
GIN	- PH RePHINE	$\begin{array}{l} 76.90 \pm 0.80 \\ 78.35 \pm 0.68 \\ \textbf{79.23} \pm 1.67 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{l} \textbf{72.50} \pm 2.31 \\ \textbf{69.46} \pm 2.48 \\ \textbf{72.32} \pm 1.89 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{l} \textbf{74.20} \pm 1.30 \\ \textbf{69.80} \pm \textbf{0.84} \\ \textbf{72.80} \pm \textbf{2.95} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{l} 76.89 \pm 1.75 \\ 79.12 \pm 1.23 \\ \textbf{80.92} \pm 1.92 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 70.76 \pm 2.46 \\ 73.37 \pm 4.36 \\ \textbf{73.71} \pm 0.91 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.621 \pm 0.015 \\ 0.440 \pm 0.019 \\ \textbf{0.411} \pm 0.015 \end{array}$

17

#### Wanna know more?

Visit our poster: **#629** Thu 14 Dec 10:45 a.m. CST

Code: <a href="http://www.github.com/Aalto-QuML/rephine">www.github.com/Aalto-QuML/rephine</a>

Johanna Immonen johanna.x.immonen@helsinki.fi

Theoretical contributions of this work			
On vertex-level filtrations (Section 2 and Section 3.1):			
Inconsistency issues due to injective vertex filtrations	Lemma 1		
Real holes $(d = \infty) \cong$ Component-wise colors	Lemma 2		
Almost holes $(b \neq d, d \neq \infty) \cong$ Separating sets	Lemma 3		
Distinct almost holes $\Rightarrow$ Color-separating set	Lemma 4		
Birth time of persistence tuples $\cong$ Vertex color	Lemma 5		
The expressive power of vertex-color filtrations	Theorem 1		
On edge-level filtrations (Section 3.2):			
Almost holes $\cong$ Disconnecting sets	Lemma 6		
Reconstruction of disconnecting sets	Lemma 7		
The expressive power of edge-color filtrations	Theorem 2		
Vertex-level vs. edge-level filtrations (Section 3.3):			
Vertex-level persistence $ earrow$ edge-level persistence, and vice-versa	Theorem 3		
New method (RePHINE) (Section 4):			
RePHINE is isomorphism invariant	Theorem 4		
RePHINE $\succ$ vertex-, edge-, and vertex- $\cup$ edge-level diagrams	Theorem 5		

Amauri H. Souza amauri.souza@aalto.fi



X @amaurihsouza

Vikas Garg vgarg@csail.mit.edu



X @montsgarg

18