Duality-Based Stochastic Policy Optimization for Estimation with Unknown Noise Covariances

Presenter: Shahriar Talebi¹

Collaborators: Amirhossein Taghvaei² and Mehran Mesbahi²

¹Harvard University ²University of Washington



10th November 2023



Shahriar Talebi

a motivating example

Flexible wing aircraft:

• Boeing 787 Dreamliner







¹Vas and Farokhi, "Introduction to Transonic Aerodynamics"

SEAS @ Harvard

Shahriar Talebi

ъ

イロト 不同ト 不同ト 不同ト

a motivating example

• (Large) Model for Aeroelastic Response to Gust Excitation



• Goal: estimate aeroelastic modal displacements with approximate model and unknown noise covariances

SEAS @ Harvard

・ロト ・四ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

 $^{^{2}}$ [Quenzer, et. al. '19] 3 [Nguyen, et. al. '20]

- full identification: [Zhang, et. al. '20] IEEE access
 - [Odelson '03], [Rajamani, et. al. '09] Autocovariance LS
 - [Matisko, et. al. '10], [Akesson, et. al. '08], [Dunik, et. al. '09],...
 - [Hinson, et. al. '22] applied to LARGE

- full identification: [Zhang, et. al. '20] IEEE access
 - [Odelson '03], [Rajamani, et. al. '09] Autocovariance LS
 - [Matisko, et. al. '10], [Akesson, et. al. '08], [Dunik, et. al. '09],...
 - [Hinson, et. al. '22] applied to LARGE
- **completely unknown**: no knowledge of dynamic/noise under open-loop stability:
 - [Lale, et. al. '20], [Tsiamis, et. al. '23] \rightarrow logarithmic regret
 - [Umenberger, et. al. '22] \rightarrow sublinear convergence

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲臣▶ ▲臣▶ 三臣 - のへで

- full identification: [Zhang, et. al. '20] IEEE access
 - [Odelson '03], [Rajamani, et. al. '09] Autocovariance LS
 - [Matisko, et. al. '10], [Akesson, et. al. '08], [Dunik, et. al. '09],...
 - [Hinson, et. al. '22] applied to LARGE
- **completely unknown**: no knowledge of dynamic/noise under open-loop stability:
 - [Lale, et. al. '20], [Tsiamis, et. al. '23] \rightarrow logarithmic regret
 - [Umenberger, et. al. '22] \rightarrow sublinear convergence
- our approach: middle ground \rightarrow known dynamics, unknown noise

how to learn optimal estimation policy from output data? estimation-control **Duality** \rightarrow

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆∃▶ ◆∃▶ ∃ のへの

- full identification: [Zhang, et. al. '20] IEEE access
 - [Odelson '03], [Rajamani, et. al. '09] Autocovariance LS
 - [Matisko, et. al. '10], [Akesson, et. al. '08], [Dunik, et. al. '09],...
 - [Hinson, et. al. '22] applied to LARGE
- **completely unknown**: no knowledge of dynamic/noise under open-loop stability:
 - [Lale, et. al. '20], [Tsiamis, et. al. '23] \rightarrow logarithmic regret
 - [Umenberger, et. al. '22] \rightarrow sublinear convergence
- our approach: middle ground \rightarrow known dynamics, unknown noise

how to learn optimal estimation policy from output data? estimation-control **Duality** \rightarrow

control related works: [Fazel, et. al. '18], [Bu, et. al. '19], [Mohammadi, et. al. '21], [Zhao, et. al. '21], [Tang, et. al. '21], [Talebi, et. al. '22]...

SEAS @ Harvard

Shahriar Talebi

problem formulation

Consider

 $\begin{aligned} x(t+1) &= Ax(t) + \xi(t) \\ y(t) &= Hx(t) + \omega(t) \\ \mathbb{E}\xi(t)\xi(t)^{\mathsf{T}} &= Q, \quad \mathbb{E}\omega(t)\omega(t)^{\mathsf{T}} = R, \quad \mathbb{E}x(0)x(0)^{\mathsf{T}} = P_0, \quad \xi(t) \perp \omega(s) \\ (A, H) \text{ known (possibly unstable) and } Q, R, P_0 \text{ unknown} \end{aligned}$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ ● ○ ○ ○

problem formulation

Consider

 $\begin{aligned} x(t+1) &= Ax(t) + \xi(t) \\ y(t) &= Hx(t) + \omega(t) \\ \mathbb{E}\xi(t)\xi(t)^{\mathsf{T}} &= Q, \quad \mathbb{E}\omega(t)\omega(t)^{\mathsf{T}} = R, \quad \mathbb{E}x(0)x(0)^{\mathsf{T}} = P_0, \quad \xi(t) \perp \omega(s) \\ (A, H) \text{ known (possibly unstable) and } Q, R, P_0 \text{ unknown} \end{aligned}$

Problem: given observations $\mathcal{Y}(t) = \{y(0), y(1), \dots, y(t-1)\}$, find

$$\hat{x}(t) = \underset{\hat{x} \in \sigma(\mathcal{Y}(t))}{\arg\min} \mathbb{E} \| y(t) - H\hat{x} \|^2$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲臣▶ ▲臣▶ 三臣 - のへで

problem formulation

Consider

 $\begin{aligned} x(t+1) &= Ax(t) + \xi(t) \\ y(t) &= Hx(t) + \omega(t) \\ \mathbb{E}\xi(t)\xi(t)^{\mathsf{T}} &= Q, \quad \mathbb{E}\omega(t)\omega(t)^{\mathsf{T}} = R, \quad \mathbb{E}x(0)x(0)^{\mathsf{T}} = P_0, \quad \xi(t) \perp \omega(s) \\ (A, H) \text{ known (possibly unstable) and } Q, R, P_0 \text{ unknown} \end{aligned}$

Problem: given observations $\mathcal{Y}(t) = \{y(0), y(1), \dots, y(t-1)\}$, find

$$\hat{x}(t) = \underset{\hat{x} \in \sigma(\mathcal{Y}(t))}{\arg\min} \mathbb{E} \| y(t) - H\hat{x} \|^2$$

• optimal MSE estimate \hat{x}_L is Kalman filter:

$$\hat{x}_L(t+1) = A\hat{x}_L(t) + L(t)(y(t) - H\hat{x}_L(t))$$

with Kalman gain $L(t) = AP(t)H^{\intercal}(HP(t)H^{\intercal} + \mathbb{R})^{-1}$ and

$$P(t+1) = \operatorname{Riccati}(P(t), \boldsymbol{Q}, \boldsymbol{R}), \quad P(0) = \boldsymbol{P}_0$$

SEAS @ Harvard

• minimize an LQR cost over the backward adjoint dynamics

$$\begin{split} \min_{u(t)} & \leftarrow J_T(u_t) = z^{\intercal}(0) P_0 z(0) + \sum_{t=1}^T z^{\intercal}(t) Q z(t) + u^{\intercal}(t) R u(t) \\ & s.t. \ z(t) = A^{\intercal} z(t+1) - H^{\intercal} u(t+1), \quad z(T) = a \end{split}$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 善臣 - のへで

• minimize an LQR cost over the backward adjoint dynamics

$$\min_{u(t)} \leftarrow J_T(u_t) = z^{\mathsf{T}}(0)P_0 z(0) + \sum_{t=1}^T z^{\mathsf{T}}(t)Q z(t) + u^{\mathsf{T}}(t)Ru(t)$$

s.t. $z(t) = A^{\mathsf{T}} z(t+1) - H^{\mathsf{T}} u(t+1), \quad z(T) = a$
estimation $\leftarrow \boxed{\mathbb{E}|a^{\mathsf{T}} x(T) - a^{\mathsf{T}} \hat{x}_L(T)|^2 \stackrel{\text{Duality}}{=} J_T(u_t = Lx_t)} \rightarrow \text{control}$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 善臣 - のへで

• minimize an LQR cost over the backward adjoint dynamics

$$\min_{u(t)} \leftarrow J_T(u_t) = z^{\intercal}(0) P_0 z(0) + \sum_{t=1}^T z^{\intercal}(t) Q z(t) + u^{\intercal}(t) R u(t)$$

s.t. $z(t) = A^{\intercal} z(t+1) - H^{\intercal} u(t+1), \quad z(T) = a$
estimation $\leftarrow \boxed{\mathbb{E} |a^{\intercal} x(T) - a^{\intercal} \hat{x}_L(T)|^2 \overset{\text{Duality}}{=} J_T(u_t = L x_t)} \rightarrow \text{control}$

• the reparameterized problem: $J(L) := \lim_{T \to \infty} J_T(L)$

$$\begin{split} \min_{L} &\leftarrow J(L) \coloneqq \operatorname{tr} \left[X_{(L)} H^{\mathsf{T}} H \right], \\ \text{s.t.} \quad X_{(L)} = A_L X_{(L)} A_L^{\mathsf{T}} + Q + L R L^{\mathsf{T}} \\ L \in \mathcal{S} \coloneqq \left\{ L \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m} : \rho(A - L H) < 1 \right\} \end{split}$$

SEAS @ Harvard

Shahriar Talebi

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆目▶ ◆目▶ 目 のへぐ

• minimize an LQR cost over the backward adjoint dynamics

$$\min_{u(t)} \leftarrow J_T(u_t) = z^{\mathsf{T}}(0) P_0 z(0) + \sum_{t=1}^T z^{\mathsf{T}}(t) Q z(t) + u^{\mathsf{T}}(t) R u(t)$$

$$s.t. \ z(t) = A^{\mathsf{T}} z(t+1) - H^{\mathsf{T}} u(t+1), \quad z(T) = a$$

stimation
$$\leftarrow \boxed{\mathbb{E} |a^{\mathsf{T}} x(T) - a^{\mathsf{T}} \hat{x}_L(T)|^2} \stackrel{\text{Duality}}{=} J_T(u_t = L x_t) \rightarrow \text{control}$$

• the reparameterized problem: $J(L) := \lim_{T \to \infty} J_T(L)$

$$\begin{split} \min_{L} &\leftarrow J(L) \coloneqq \operatorname{tr} \left[X_{(L)} H^{\mathsf{T}} H \right], \\ \text{s.t.} \quad X_{(L)} = A_L X_{(L)} A_L^{\mathsf{T}} + Q + L R L^{\mathsf{T}} \\ L \in \mathcal{S} \coloneqq \left\{ L \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m} : \rho(A - L H) < 1 \right\} \end{split}$$

• define squared estimation error $SE(L, \mathcal{Y}_T) := ||y(T) - H\hat{x}_L(T)||^2$

$$J(L) \stackrel{\text{Duality}}{=} \lim_{T \to \infty} \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{SE}(L, \mathcal{Y}_T)]$$

SEAS @ Harvard

• e

Shahriar Talebi

Stochastic Gradient Descent for estimation

the algorithm:

[Feed observations to the built stochastic oracle] $\mathcal{Y}_T \mapsto \nabla_L SE(L, \mathcal{Y}_T)$

[Approximate a biased noisy gradient] $\nabla \hat{J}_T(L) = \frac{1}{M} \sum_{i=1}^M \nabla_L SE(L, \mathcal{Y}_T^i)$

[Run *M*-batch SGD] $L_{k+1} \leftarrow L_k - \eta_k \nabla \hat{J}_T(L)$

⁴[Fazel; et. al. '18] and similar LQR control works.

Stochastic Gradient Descent for estimation

the algorithm:

[Feed observations to the built stochastic oracle] $\mathcal{Y}_T \mapsto \nabla_L SE(L, \mathcal{Y}_T)$

[Approximate a biased noisy gradient] $\nabla \hat{J}_T(L) = \frac{1}{M} \sum_{i=1}^M \nabla_L SE(L, \mathcal{Y}_T^i)$

[Run *M*-batch SGD] $L_{k+1} \leftarrow L_k - \eta_k \nabla \hat{J}_T(L)$

• comparison: control vs optimal estimation

Problem	Parameters			Constraints	Gradient Oracle	
	cost value	\boldsymbol{Q} and \boldsymbol{R}	\boldsymbol{A} and \boldsymbol{H}	stability \mathcal{S}	model	biased
4	known	known	unknown	yes	$ \mathbb{E}J(L+r\Delta)\Delta \Delta \sim U(\mathbb{S}^{mn}) $	yes
Estimation (this work)	unknown	unknown	known	yes	$ \begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}\nabla \mathtt{SE}(L,\mathcal{Y}) \\ \mathcal{Y} \sim \text{output data} \end{aligned} $	yes
Vanila SGD	*	*	*	no	$ \begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}\nabla SE(L,\mathcal{Y}) \\ \mathcal{Y} \sim \text{data dist.} \end{aligned} $	no
⁴ [Fazel; et. al. '18] and similar LQR control works.						

SEAS @ Harvard

Convergence [Informal]

Consider observable (A, H), bounded noise $\xi(t), \omega(t)$, and small stepsize. Then (w.p. $\ge 1 - \delta$), SGD converges linearly and globally to ϵ -optimal Kalman gain if

- # of trajectories $\geq O(\ln(1/\delta)/\epsilon^2)$
- trajectory length $\geq O(\ln(1/\epsilon))$

(日) (四) (王) (王) (王)

Convergence [Informal]

Consider observable (A, H), bounded noise $\xi(t), \omega(t)$, and small stepsize. Then (w.p. $\geq 1 - \delta$), SGD converges linearly and globally to ϵ -optimal Kalman gain if

• # of trajectories $\geq O(\ln(1/\delta)/\epsilon^2)$

• trajectory length $\geq O(\ln(1/\epsilon))$

SGD convergence with:

biased gradient, locally Lipschitz and stability constraint

-statistical bounds: with high prob

$$\|\nabla \hat{J}_T(L) - \nabla J(L)\| \leq \underbrace{\|\nabla \hat{J}_T(L) - \nabla J_T(L)\|}_{\text{concentration}} + \underbrace{\|\nabla J_T(L) - \nabla J(L)\|}_{\text{truncation} \rightarrow \text{bias}}$$

ncentratio

イロト イボト イモト イモト 三日

numerical simulation

• The average optimality gap (average over 40 simulations) for different (a) batch-size M and (b) trajectory length T.



3

メロト メタト メヨト メヨト

numerical simulation

• The optimality gap at final iteration of every simulation as a function of (a) batch-size M and (b) trajectory length T.



• Future directions: "optimal" data usage, and perturbed systems parameters

SEAS @ Harvard

→ ∃→