Sparse Modular Activation for Efficient Sequence Modeling

Liliang Ren¹², Yang Liu², Shuohang Wang², Yichong Xu², Chenguang Zhu², ChengXiang Zhai¹ University of Illinois Urbana Champaign¹; Microsoft² liliang3@illinois.edu

Great Hall & Hall B1+B2 #508 @NeurIPS 2023





Motivation

Attention-free Sequence Model:

• Linear State Space Models (SSMs) [Gu et al., 2022]

Previous Works:

- Statically combine attention with SSMs [Ma et al., 2023; Dao et al., 2023]
 - Over-assuming attention modules are needed for all sequence elements.

Research Questions:

- **RQ1:** Can neural networks learn to activate their attention modules **on-demand** for better efficiency?
- **RQ2:** How much extra attention is needed on a task-by-task basis?

Contribution

- Sparse Modular Activation (SMA)
 - General activation mechanism for modules
 - Theoretical guarantee of space coverage
 - Efficient parallel implementation
- SeqBoat: A novel architecture with SMA
 - SSMs + Sparsely Activated GAU [Hua et al., 2022]
 - \circ SoTA quality-efficiency trade-off on LRA \rightarrow RQ1
 - Reveals attention needed for each data sample and task \rightarrow **RQ2**



Base Architecture



- An SSM layer with SiLU non-linearity and skip connection
- We use MD-EMA [Ma et al., 2023] for SSM's kernel parametrization





- Latent Configurator decides if a GAU is needed for each time step independently.
- No Feed Forward Network (FFN)

Sparse Modular Activation (SMA)



- Given actions *a* and confidences
 c, SMA efficiently compresses inputs and extracts outputs in parallel.
- SMA is proved to have a full
 coverage of the function space
 over modules.

Theorem 1 (Function Space Coverage of SMA). For any $\mathcal{L}' \subseteq \mathcal{L} = span\{f_1^l, ..., f_M^l\}$, there exists a pair of $(\mathbf{a}'_t, \mathbf{c}'_t)$ that $\mathcal{L}_{SMA}(\mathbf{a}'_t, \mathbf{c}'_t) = \mathcal{L}'$. In other words, SMA has a **full** coverage of the function space \mathcal{L} .

Working Memory Mechanism



- Keeps a First-In-First-Out history for each module with size *w*.
- For GAU, it is equivalent to have a local attention with window size *w*.
- Reduces worst-case time complexity to **linear**.
- Still allows interaction between far-apart inputs.

Long Range Arena

Models	ListOps	Text	Retr.	Image	Path.	Path-X	Avg.	Speed	Mem.
Quadratic Inference Complexity									
Transformer	37.11	65.21	79.14	42.94	71.83	×	59.24	1×	1×
MEGA*	63.14	90.43	91.25	90.44	96.01	97.98	88.21	$2.9 \times$	0.31×
Sub-quadratic Inference Complexity									
Reformer	37.27	56.10	53.40	38.07	68.50	×	50.67	$0.8 \times$	$0.24 \times$
BigBird	36.05	64.02	59.29	40.83	74.87	×	55.01	$1.1 \times$	$0.30 \times$
SeqBoat-full*	61.65	89.60	91.67	89.96	95.87	95.28	87.33	$6.2 \times$	$0.07 \times$
Linear Inference Complexity									
Performer	18.01	65.40	53.82	42.77	77.05	X	51.41	5.7×	0.11×
Linformer	35.70	53.94	52.27	38.56	76.34	X	51.36	$5.5 \times$	$0.10 \times$
Luna-256	37.98	65.78	79.56	47.86	78.55	×	61.95	$4.9 \times$	0.16×
S4	59.10	86.53	90.94	88.48	94.01	96.07	85.86	$4.8 \times$	$0.14 \times$
S4D-LegS	60.47	86.18	89.46	88.19	93.06	91.95	84.89	6.1×	$0.14 \times$
S 5	<u>62.15</u>	89.31	91.40	88.00	<u>95.33</u>	98.58	<u>87.46</u>	6.1×	$0.14 \times$
Liquid-S4	62.75	89.02	91.20	<u>89.50</u>	94.8	96.66	87.32	$1.2 \times$	$0.17 \times$
H3*	57.50	88.20	91.00	87.30	93.00	91.80	84.80	$6.0 \times$	$\overline{0.24\times}$
MEGA-chunk*	58.76	90.19	90.97	85.80	94.41	93.81	85.66	7.0 imes	$0.09 \times$
SeqBoat*	61.70	<u>89.60</u>	<u>91.28</u>	90.10	96.35	<u>96.68</u>	87.62	$10.4 \times$	0.05 imes

- Training Speed/Memory Allocation on Text with 4k input length
- Substantially outperforms previous hybrid models.
- State-of-The-Art Accuracy-efficiency Trade-off

Speech Recognition and Language Modeling

• Speech Commands 10 (16k input length)

Model	#Param.	Acc. (†)	Speed (†)	Mem. (↓)
S4	300K	97.50	-	-
MEGA-chunk	300K	96.92	$1.00 \times$	$1.00 \times$
SeqBoat	293K	97.35	$1.32 \times$	$0.44 \times$

SeqBoat offers
 significantly better
 speed-quality trade-off
 than MEGA-chunk

• enwik8 (8k input length)

Model	#Param.	bpc (↓)	Speed (†)	Mem. (↓)
Transformer-XL	41M	1.06	-	-
Adaptive Span	39M	1.02	-	-
MEGA-chunk	39M	1.02	$1.00 \times$	$1.00 \times$
SeqBoat	39M	1.02	1.16×	$1.07 \times$

Amount of Attention Needed per Task



- Image-based tasks need more attention than text-based tasks
- Higher layers need more attention than lower layers
- More variance of difficulty per data sample in ListOps than other tasks

Summary

More in our paper:

- More analyses, Theorems & Proofs
- Ablation studies & Implementation details



Conclusion:

- SMA First mechanism enables efficient activation of a self-attention module
 Plus theoretical guarantee of module space coverage
- SeqBoat SoTA quality-efficiency trade-off on LRA with intrinsic interpretability

Thanks for your time!

Sparse Modular Activation for Efficient Sequence Modeling

Liliang Ren, Yang Liu, Shuohang Wang, Yichong Xu, Chenguang Zhu, ChengXiang Zhai

Great Hall & Hall B1+B2 #508 @NeurIPS 2023



