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## Protein

- Fundamental components in our life
- Involved in many biological processes



## Protein Sequence and Structure

- Protein sequence consists of amino acids, a.k.a., residues
- Protein sequences determines structures



## Joint Pre-Training

- Existing works
- Pre-training objectives on either sequences or structures
- How to use both modalities for pre-training?
- Diffusion models!



## Diffusion Models on Proteins

- Diffusion models capture joint distribution of sequences and structures.
- Diffusion models are equivalent to multi-level denoising.

Sequence diffusion


## Structure diffusion

## Diffusion Models for Pre-Training (DiffPreT)



## Protein Conformer

- Sequence -> Multiple structures, i.e., conformers


How to capture conformer information during pre-training?

## Siamese Diffusion Trajectory Prediction (SiamDiff)



Mutual information maximization between conformers

## Multi-Level Denoising

- Multiple noise levels

$$
\mathcal{L}:=\mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{t=1}^{T} D_{\mathrm{KL}}\left(q\left(\mathcal{P}^{t-1} \mid \mathcal{P}^{t}, \mathcal{P}^{0}\right) \| p_{\theta}\left(\mathcal{P}^{t-1} \mid \mathcal{P}^{t}\right)\right)\right]
$$

- Better than treating noise level as a hyperparameter ${ }^{[1]}$
- Large noise - coarse-grained - easy
- Small noise - fine-grained - difficult
- However, this is very different for joint diffusion!


## Two-Stage Noise Scheduling

## Structure perturbation makes it harder to do sequence denoising!!!

Large noise, small acc. Difficult for sequence denoising


Small noise, large loss Difficult for structure denoising


Our solution: Two-stage noise scheduling

## Results

Table 1: Atom-level results on Atom3D tasks.

|  | Method | PIP | MSP | RES |  |  | Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | AUROC | AUROC | Accuracy | Global $\rho$ | Mean $\rho$ |  |
|  | GearNet-Edge | $0.868 \pm 0.002$ | $0.633 \pm 0.067$ | $0.441 \pm 0.001$ | $0.782 \pm 0.021$ | $0.488 \pm 0.012$ | 7.6 |
|  | Denoising Score Matching | $0.877 \pm 0.002$ | $0.629 \pm 0.040$ | $0.448 \pm 0.001$ | $0.813 \pm 0.003$ | $0.518 \pm 0.020$ | 5.2 |
|  | Residue Type Prediction | $0.879 \pm 0.004$ | $0.620 \pm 0.027$ | $0.449 \pm 0.001$ | $0.826 \pm 0.020$ | $0.518 \pm 0.018$ | 4.4 |
|  | Distance Prediction | $0.872 \pm 0.001$ | $0.677 \pm 0.020$ | $0.422 \pm 0.001$ | $\mathbf{0 . 8 4 0} \pm \mathbf{0 . 0 2 0}$ | $0.522 \pm 0.004$ | 4.0 |
|  | Angle Prediction | $0.878 \pm 0.001$ | $0.642 \pm 0.013$ | $0.419 \pm 0.001$ | $0.813 \pm 0.007$ | $0.503 \pm 0.012$ | 6.2 |
|  | Dihedral Prediction | $0.878 \pm 0.004$ | $0.591 \pm 0.008$ | $0.414 \pm 0.001$ | $0.821 \pm 0.002$ | $0.497 \pm 0.004$ | 6.8 |
|  | Multiview Contrast | $0.871 \pm 0.003$ | $0.646 \pm 0.006$ | $0.368 \pm 0.001$ | $0.805 \pm 0.005$ | $0.502 \pm 0.009$ | 7.2 |
|  | DiffPreT | $0.880 \pm 0.005$ | $0.680 \pm 0.018$ | $0.452 \pm 0.001$ | $0.821 \pm 0.007$ | $0.533 \pm 0.006$ | 2.4 |
|  | SiamDiff | $\overline{\mathbf{0 . 8 8 4} \pm 0.003}$ | $\overline{0.698} \pm 0.020$ | $\overline{\mathbf{0 . 4 6 0}} \pm \mathbf{0 . 0 0 1}$ | $\underline{0.829 \pm 0.012}$ | $\overline{\mathbf{0 . 5 4 6}} \pm \mathbf{0 . 0 1 8}$ | 1.2 |

Table 2: Residue-level results on EC and Atom3D tasks.

|  | Method | EC |  | MSP | PSR |  | Mean Rank |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | AUPR | $\mathrm{F}_{\text {max }}$ | AUROC | Global $\rho$ | Mean $\rho$ |  |
|  | GearNet-Edge | $0.837 \pm 0.002$ | $0.811 \pm 0.001$ | $0.644 \pm 0.023$ | $0.763 \pm 0.012$ | $0.373 \pm 0.021$ | 7.8 |
|  | Denoising Score Matching | $0.859 \pm 0.003$ | $0.840 \pm 0.001$ | $0.645 \pm 0.028$ | $0.795 \pm 0.027$ | $0.429 \pm 0.017$ | 5.0 |
|  | Residue Type Prediction | $0.851 \pm 0.002$ | $0.826 \pm 0.005$ | $0.636 \pm 0.003$ | $\underline{0.828} \pm 0.005$ | $0.480 \pm 0.031$ | 5.4 |
|  | Distance Prediction | $0.858 \pm 0.003$ | $0.836 \pm 0.001$ | $0.623 \pm 0.007$ | $0.796 \pm 0.017$ | $0.416 \pm 0.021$ | 6.4 |
|  | Angle Prediction | $0.873 \pm 0.003$ | $0.849 \pm 0.001$ | $0.631 \pm 0.041$ | $0.802 \pm 0.015$ | $0.446 \pm 0.009$ | 4.2 |
|  | Dihedral Prediction | $0.858 \pm 0.001$ | $0.840 \pm 0.001$ | $0.568 \pm 0.022$ | $0.732 \pm 0.021$ | $0.398 \pm 0.022$ | 7.2 |
|  | Multiview Contrast | $\underline{0.875 \pm 0.003}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 8 5 7} \pm 0.003$ | $\mathbf{0 . 7 1 3} \pm \mathbf{0 . 0 3 6}$ | $0.752 \pm 0.012$ | $0.388 \pm 0.015$ | 4.0 |
|  | DiffPreT | $0.864 \pm 0.002$ | $0.844 \pm 0.001$ | $0.673 \pm 0.042$ | $0.815 \pm 0.008$ | $0.505 \pm 0.007$ | 3.2 |
|  | SiamDiff | $\mathbf{0 . 8 7 8} \pm 0.003$ | 0.857 $\pm 0.003$ | $\underline{0.700 \pm 0.043}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 8 5 6} \pm \mathbf{0 . 0 0 7}$ | $\overline{\mathbf{0 . 5 2 1} \pm 0.016}$ | 1.2 |

## Good results on all considered tasks

## Visualization Results



Random Initialization


First-stage SiamDiff

AF-Q6P3J2-F1-model_v1.pdb


Second-stage SiamDiff

Thanks!

