

# Modeling Human Visual Motion Processing with Trainable Motion Energy Sensing and a Selfattention Network

Zitang Sun<sup>1</sup> · Yen-Ju Chen <sup>1</sup>· Yung-Hao Yang<sup>1</sup> · Shin'ya Nishida<sup>12</sup>\*

1. Cognitive Informatics Lab Kyoto University



2. NTT Communication Science Laboratories, Nippon Telegraph and Telephone Corporation



## **Problem & Motivation**

#### **Visual Motion Processing Along the dorsal stream**

- **Basic Functions:** The motion energy sensor/ spatiotemporal filters (V1) for capturing local motion.
- > More advanced functions: MT/MST regions for motion spatial integration and segmentation.
- > Existing attempts still less considered the ability to derive dense motion flows from local motion energy and thus generalize to natural videos to simulate the high-level visual function of humans.





Using the powerful DNNs

**Classical energy models** 



Approximate and compare the motion perception functions of the human visual system

Neurophysiologically

- > psychophysically
- Engineering-wise



### **Problem & Motivation**

- A two-stage process
- Stage I: the trainable motion energy sensor→ V1
- Stage II: recurrent integration based on the attention mechanism  $\rightarrow$  MT



#### Stage I

(Local motion energy capture; Simulating the function of V1):



#### Stage II

(Global motion Integration & Segregation; Simulating the function of MT)



Local motion energy



Fully connected graph



### **Modeling Two Stages of Motion Perception**

• The first stage consists of a group of cells with **trainable** spatiotemporal frequencies tuning to capture different preferences of local motion energy.

 $x' = x\cos\theta + y\sin\theta, y' = -x\sin\theta + y\cos\theta$ 

Spatial Filter  

$$g(x, y; \lambda, \theta, \psi, \sigma, \gamma) = \exp\left(-\frac{x^2 + \gamma^2 y^2}{2\sigma^2}\right) \cos\left(2\pi \frac{x}{\lambda} + \psi\right)$$

$$H(a, \phi, \tau, \omega_t, t) = -ae^{-\tau t} \sin\left(\omega_t t + \phi\right)$$
Temporal Filter

Spatiotemporal Separable Filter





Totally 256 trainable motion energy cells with different spatiotemporal preferences (under Nyquist sampling rate constraint ), orientations  $(0-2\pi)$ , scales, temporal decays, etc.



For each inference, input 11 frames across -200~+200 ms. The temporal filter window is set to 6 frames (200 ms)

## **Modeling Two Stages of Motion Perception**



Recurrent transformer block based on the gated recurrent unit for global motion integration

# Training

The end-to-end supervised training was applied to fit the motion ground truth in digital videos, which consist of a sizeable multi-frame training set.  $L2LossFunction = \sum_{t}^{n} (y_{true} - y_{predicted})^2$ 

Ypredicted is the optical flow from the decoder of each iteration.



Dataset: (with over 8000 samples, each containing 11 images)

- Sintel Benchmark with ground truth + Natural images with pseudo labels (Created by DNNs)
- Self-made non-texture motion (to generalize non-texture motion widely used in vision research)
- Self-made Drifting gratings (provide prior to solving the aperture problem)

Ground Truth Optical Flow







### **Virtual Neurophysiology-Direction Tuning**

The distribution of all units from the model captured the tendency of neurons' distributions on partial correlation space.



To quantify the directional tuning properties of neurons as recursive integration increases, we adopted a pair of partial correlations to judge whether a cell belongs to a plaid/component.

$$R_c = \frac{\left(r_c - r_p r_{cp}\right)}{\sqrt{\left(\left(1 - r_p^2\right)\left(1 - r_{cp}^2\right)\right)}}$$

 $R_p = \frac{\left(r_p - r_c r_{cp}\right)}{\sqrt{\left(\left(1 - r_c^2\right)\left(1 - r_{cp}^2\right)\right)}}$ 

[▲] Movshon J A, Adelson E H, Gizzi M S, et al. Pattern recognition mechanisms[J]. 1985.



## In silico Neurophysiology

#### In Silico Neurophysiology: Spectral Receptive Field



• In contrast to the first stage, the second stage demonstrates a slanted direction of the spectral receptive field, which implies that the cells in the second stage **have a velocity tuning capability**, consistent with the neural recordings in the MT region.

#### Neuron recordings of monkey's v1



Neuron recordings of monkey's MT





Perrone, J.A. & Thiele, A. Speed skills: measuring the visual speed analyzing properties of primate MT neurons. Nat. Neurosci. 4, 526-532

### **Motion Integration**

• The MT region of humans could integrate the motion signal from the V1 cells so that one could perceive a global downward motion, which is well captured by our two-stage structures.



Each local region contains different motion directions and different speeds, but globally it is easy to perceive a downward motion.

#### Stimuli:



#### Model Response:



# **Adaptive Motion Integration**

• The proposed model replicates the human's adaptive motion integration strategies: the local ambiguous motion (**Local-grating**) is easier to integrate across long-distance.

Each to integrate (with peripheral vision)

Hard to integrate

Unit's Connection Heatmap Unit's Connection Heatmap Optical flow Optical flow STD: 9.66

Local-plaid (local integration)

Local-grating (Global integration)

### **Compare to Psychophysical Human Response**

• Compare to Human Response on Sintel dataset.



Table 1: *Model v.s. Human v.s. GT.*  $\rho$ : Partial correlation between human & model controlling GT; r: Pearson correlation coefficient; *epe*: vector end-point error; *uv*, *dir*, *spd* represent motion components in Cartesian space, direction, and speed, respectively. **3DCNN**: consists of multi-layer 3DCNNs with residual connections; **DorsalNet**: a pre-trained DorsalNet with frozen parameters, featuring a 3D convolution layer as a flow decoder, trained on natural dense optical flow datasets.

Method	$ ho_{uv}$	$ ho_{dir}$	$ ho_{spd}$	v.s. Human				v.s. GT			
				$r_{uv}$	$r_{spd}$	$r_{dir}$	epe	$r_{uv}$	$r_{spd}$	$r_{dir}$	epe
Farneback 58	0.27	0.23	0.11	0.41	0.91	0.34	2.02	0.34	0.33	0.92	1.96
FlowNet2.0 11	0.39	0.26	0.34	0.92	0.90	0.96	0.94	0.95	0.94	0.98	0.47
RAFT 14	0.20	0.22	0.14	0.92	0.90	0.96	0.93	0.98	0.99	0.99	0.25
RAFT-val	0.43	0.17	0.42	0.92	0.89	0.96	1.01	0.92	0.89	0.98	0.69
AGFlow 57	0.30	0.16	0.20	0.93	0.90	0.96	0.92	0.98	0.98	0.98	0.27
GMFlow 15	0.34	0.32	0.17	0.91	0.84	0.96	1.03	0.93	0.90	0.97	0.73
FlowFormer 43	0.36	0.14	0.32	0.93	0.91	0.95	0.90	0.98	0.97	0.98	0.42
FFV1MT 59	0.31	0.16	0.31	0.83	0.64	0.92	1.48	0.59	0.84	0.94	1.29
3DCNN	0.27	0.29	0.42	0.83	0.86	0.95	1.31	0.83	0.86	0.96	1.14
DorsalNet 60	0.17	0.19	-0.10	0.20	-0.08	0.86	2.35	0.20	-0.04	0.86	2.33
Ours-fixed	-0.02	0.12	0.16	0.31	0.23	0.78	2.24	0.35	0.18	0.80	2.29
Ours-Stage I	0.34	0.23	0.35	0.71	0.71	0.92	1.52	0.67	0.67	0.92	1.49
Ours-Stage II	0.57	0.43	0.47	0.91	0.88	0.95	0.98	0.86	0.87	0.95	1.04



#### **Partial Correlation:**

Partial correlation for direction/speed between the model prediction and the human response with the effects of GT removed.

- Two-Stage Architecture: We introduced a two-stage architecture that models the entire process of biological motion perception, showing good generalization across stimuli.
- Attention-Based Motion Integration: Our novel attention-based recurrent process aligned well with physiological and psychophysical findings, offering insights into motion integration mechanisms.
- Bridging Human and DNN Perception: Combining classical motion energy and deep learning technology holds promise for closing the gap between human and deep neural network motion perception systems.